



ADAPTIVE LEADERSHIP IN CREATING PSYCHOLOGICALLY SAFE ENVIRONMENTS FOR WORK LIFE BALANCE PRACTICES

Jeje Abdul Rojak

Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Ampel Surabaya

correspondence: jejerojak@yahoo.com

Abstract

This study examines how adaptive leaders build psychological safety enabling employees to take leave, reject extra tasks outside working hours, or communicate personal needs without fear. Using a qualitative literature review method based on Crowther and Lancaster (2012), Baronov (2015), and Bryman (2016), this research synthesizes theoretical arguments from management and industrial psychology. Findings indicate that adaptive leaders build psychological safety through transparent communication about well being priorities, role modeling of work life balance practices, non judgmental responses to mistakes, explicit boundary setting, proactive needs assessment, active protection of leave takers, long term consistency, humility in admitting limitations, narrative use for norm change, personalized flexibility, and balancing flexibility with fairness. Psychological safety enables employees to prioritize personal needs without career penalty. Adaptive leaders measure success through behavioral changes and well being indicators. This theoretical framework offers guidance for leadership development programs focused on interpersonal skills and organizational policy revision.

Keywords: adaptive leadership, psychological safety, work life balance, employee well being, flexible work

Introduction

Modern organizations face significant challenges in balancing the demands of productivity with the need for employee well-being. The pressure to achieve targets often pushes aside a worker's right to rest and recharge. Many companies enforce a work culture that glorifies overtime and perpetual availability. Employees who attempt to take leave or decline additional tasks outside of working hours are often labeled as lazy or disloyal. This stigma creates a paralyzing sense of fear; individuals may desperately need rest due to illness or exhaustion, yet they choose to continue working for fear of judgment by superiors or colleagues. This condition illustrates the absence of psychological safety in the workplace, even though a psychologically safe environment is the foundation for employees to express personal needs without fear of retaliation or negative judgment. When this safety is absent, work-life balance becomes merely a slogan without real realization (Sham et al., 2024). In this context, employee involvement and work-life balance serve as decisive variables in reducing turnover intention, which can be detrimental to the company (Mardikaningsih & Arifin, 2022).

Adaptive leadership emerges as a promising approach to address this issue. Adaptive leaders do not cling to rigid methods that may have worked in the past; they are capable of reading environmental changes, understanding the unique needs of team members, and adjusting their leadership style according to the situation (Westover, 2025). Situational leadership strategies of this kind have proven effective in improving change management and overall team performance (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022). In the context of work-life balance, adaptive leaders recognize that each employee faces different circumstances. A leader's ability to facilitate employee welfare is fundamentally linked to improving organizational productivity (Darmawan et al., 2022). Furthermore, employee experience with flexible work systems has been proven to have a positive impact on both well-being and productivity dimensions (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2021). Adaptive leaders do not apply a "one-size-fits-all" policy; instead, they listen, observe, and design solutions that suit individual needs. This approach builds trust, allowing employees to be seen as whole humans with complex lives rather than mere tools of

production. This trust serves as the raw material for creating a psychologically safe environment.

A psychologically safe environment is defined as a condition where team members feel comfortable taking interpersonal risks (Furnham et al., 2025). They dare to speak up, ask questions, admit mistakes, or express disagreement without fear of being humiliated or punished. In this ecosystem, organizational culture and self-efficacy become primary pillars that form employee performance (Hariani, 2021), while support from coworkers plays a significant role in improving employee psychological well-being (Rojak & Darmawan, 2016). In such an environment, employees do not need to hide their personal needs. A mother who must pick up her child from school early can state it openly without anxiety; a worker suffering from mental health issues can request leave without being labeled weak. The freedom to say "no" is a key indicator of psychological safety, and without it, work-life balance remains an abstract concept that never manifests in daily practice.

Genuine work-life balance requires courage from employees to take leave, refuse overtime, and communicate personal boundaries (Singh, 2025). However, this courage does not appear in a vacuum; employees only feel empowered if they are confident that their actions will not harm their careers. Here, the role of adaptive leadership becomes critical. Adaptive leaders consistently demonstrate that they value team well-being over short-term productivity expectations. This aligns with the role of transformational leaders who actively develop employee competence (Rojak, 2025), as well as the leader's ability to build organizational commitment and a sense of belonging among subordinates (Gani, 2024). They lead by example, practicing work-life balance themselves such as by not sending emails on weekends or taking leave for family matters. This modeling sends a powerful signal that the organization truly supports life balance, rather than just promoting it in recruitment brochures. Employees who see their leaders practicing what they preach feel safer doing the same.

The challenge in realizing a psychologically safe environment often stems from deep-rooted, unwritten norms within organizational culture (Prosviriakova et al., 2025). Many companies claim to support work-life balance but subtly punish those who utilize such policies (Liu & Liu,

2025). This gap often stems from a work culture that fails to foster employee loyalty (Hariani & Irfan, 2022). Promotions and rewards often favor those who arrive earliest and leave latest. Long leave, while an employee right, often becomes a reason to bypass career development opportunities. These hidden norms are powerful because they are unwritten and difficult to resist. Employees learn to read subtle signals about which behaviors are truly valued. Adaptive leaders face the challenge of explicitly deconstructing these toxic norms by communicating that leave-taking will not negatively impact performance evaluations and by restructuring reward systems to prioritize quality of output over physical presence. Success here depends heavily on how leaders implement procedural justice and negotiation in daily interactions with subordinates (Gani, 2022).

The primary problem is that many leaders lack the capacity to create psychological safety because they are trapped in traditional leadership paradigms that assume maximum productivity is achieved through strict supervision, aggressive targets, and internal competition. Leaders with this mindset view leave requests or the refusal of extra tasks as a threat to their authority (Gwak et al., 2025). This issue is compounded by the fact that many leaders are promoted based on technical expertise rather than interpersonal skills. They are adept at solving operational problems but untrained in managing team psychological dynamics. Consequently, they fail to realize that their leadership style creates the fear that hinders work-life balance. This lack of self-awareness is a serious barrier that must be overcome. Therefore, strategies for developing perceived support for employees in diverse work environments have become increasingly crucial (Hariani et al., 2021).

Another persistent issue is the gap between formal policy and actual practice in the field. An organization may offer generous leave policies, flexible working hours, and work-from-home options; however, if a direct supervisor informally pressures employees to avoid utilizing these benefits, such formal policies become meaningless. This emphasizes the vital importance of leadership oriented toward employee well-being as a primary driver for increasing organizational productivity (Darmawan et al., 2022). Employees are well aware that while they may be legally entitled to take leave, they may pay a heavy social price for doing so. This discrepancy

fosters distrust toward the institution. Adaptive leaders must bridge this gap by actively ensuring that formal policies are implemented fairly. They need to protect employees who exercise their right to leave from gossip or informal discrimination. Furthermore, they must re-evaluate performance appraisal systems that may inadvertently penalize employees for utilizing workplace flexibility. Without active intervention from leaders, sound policies remain nothing more than dead documents (Casper et al., 2024). This synergy must be reinforced by organizational social capital and robust team collaboration, which serve as essential supports for Total Quality Management (Putra et al., 2021).

Burnout, depression, and work-related anxiety have increased drastically in recent years. The economic cost resulting from decreased productivity due to these mental health issues is immense (Mironova et al., 2025). Conversely, the younger generation of workers is increasingly vocal in demanding a work environment that respects personal boundaries. This dynamic is also influenced by citizens' political participation in electoral democracy and civil society movements that demand transparency (Rojak et al., 2021), as well as by public policies capable of building a sustainable balance between economic, social, and environmental interests (Mardikaningsih & Hariani, 2021). Organizations that fail to provide psychological safety will struggle to recruit and retain top talent. Research into how adaptive leaders can create a safe environment for employees to practice work-life balance has become a pressing necessity. A better understanding of adaptive leadership mechanisms can assist organizations in designing effective leadership development programs. Without serious study, organizations will continue to cycle through failures in realizing genuine work-life balance.

The objective of this writing is to construct a theoretical understanding of adaptive leadership mechanisms in creating a psychologically safe environment for work-life balance practices. This writing contributes a conceptual framework that specifically explains how adaptive leaders build a sense of security, transform toxic norms, and bridge the gap between formal policies and actual practices. Practically, the results of this study can serve as a reference for the development of adaptive leadership training programs in organizations.

Method

This writing applies a qualitative literature study to examine the relationship between adaptive leadership, psychological safety, and work-life balance practices. This approach is appropriate because the research aims to understand processes and mechanisms rather than measuring statistical correlations between variables. Crowther and Lancaster (2012) explain that literature studies allow researchers to identify theoretical patterns from various written sources and build coherent conceptual arguments. The procedures followed include searching academic databases using keywords related to adaptive leadership, psychological safety, and work-life balance. Document selection was conducted based on topic relevance and source credibility. Baronov (2015) emphasizes that qualitative research must pay attention to the conceptual foundations underlying every knowledge claim. Therefore, the author critically evaluates the epistemological assumptions of each referenced study. The sources used are derived from management journals, industrial psychology, and organizational studies published within the last fifteen years.

Data analysis is conducted using a narrative synthesis method focusing on integrating findings from various studies into a complete explanatory framework. Bryman (2016) reminds us that good literature research does not merely summarize previous studies, but rather generates new understanding that transcends each individual study. Therefore, the author does not only record what other researchers have found but also seeks relationships between findings, identifies contradictions, and proposes theoretical resolutions. The analysis process involves thematic coding of key arguments (Ibrahim, 2019). Emerging categories such as adaptive leader behaviors, dimensions of psychological safety, and barriers to work-life balance practices are constructed inductively. The author acknowledges that the interpretation of texts is influenced by the adopted theoretical perspective. However, process transparency is maintained by documenting the decision-making steps. Source triangulation across disciplines strengthens the validity of the arguments. The final result is a holistic understanding of how adaptive leaders can create an environment where employees feel safe to practice work-life balance.

Result and Discussion

Adaptive leaders build psychological safety through transparent communication regarding organizational priorities (Bamidele, 2024). They explicitly state that employee well-being is a non-negotiable core value. The role of transformational leadership is crucial here, acting as a bridge connecting technological policies with the internalization of a healthy organizational culture (Irfan, 2025). This declaration is not a one-time statement; adaptive leaders repeat it consistently. Beyond mere words, adaptive leaders demonstrate commitment through tangible actions, as the way a leader constructs organizational culture through daily symbols and rituals fundamentally dictates employee perception (Irfan & Sajjapong, 2023). When an employee requests leave during a tight project deadline, adaptive leaders seek collaborative solutions, such as redistributing tasks. This constructive response sends a signal that the employee's personal needs are accounted for in decision-making processes.

Modeling behavior is the most powerful mechanism adaptive leaders use to build safety (Molero et al., 2019). Leaders who practice work-life balance in their own lives grant indirect permission for subordinates to do the same. In this context, human resource quality and employee loyalty are heavily influenced by how leaders model work balance (Darmawan et al., 2020). When a manager takes leave and disconnects from the office, they demonstrate that leave is normal. This is highly relevant to efforts in achieving life balance across various categories of workers, from professionals to those in informal sectors (Eddine & Darmawan, 2021). Such modeling is more effective than a thousand policy guidelines because employees learn through observation. Adaptive leaders are conscious of this dynamic and intentionally normalize work-life balance practices at all levels of the organization.

Adaptive leaders also build safety through their responses to mistakes or failures. In traditional organizations, employees who make mistakes tend to hide them for fear of punishment (Sott & Bender, 2025). Adaptive leaders respond to these situations by focusing on solutions rather than finger-pointing. The courage to make mistakes and learn is a component of an innovation culture that must be encouraged to enhance creative engagement (Irfan & Rojak, 2022). This non-judgmental response teaches employees that mistakes are part of the

learning process. Employees become more daring in taking risks, including saying "no" to additional tasks. Furthermore, managers capable of managing their own emotions in day-to-day life greatly assist in improving their team's psychological well-being (Irfan & Darmawan, 2021). Without the safety to fail, employees will continue to choose the safest option: perpetual availability.

The establishment of clear boundaries by adaptive leaders helps employees understand organizational expectations (Tomasella, 2025). Confusion regarding boundaries is often a source of anxiety. Adaptive leaders eliminate this ambiguity by creating explicit rules of engagement. This effort aligns with the necessity for innovation in human resource management to increase organizational competitiveness in the era of globalization (Abdullah et al., 2021). They emphasize that additional tasks must be accompanied by adjustments to other deadlines. This clarity reduces the mental burden on employees who would otherwise have to constantly guess their superior's expectations. This is also supported by a conducive organizational climate, which directly impacts individual work performance positively (Arifin & Mardikaningsih, 2021). Adaptive leaders understand that clarity is a form of kindness.

Adaptive leaders proactively inquire about employee needs rather than waiting for them to be disclosed (Shiratori & Kawabata, 2025). This proactive approach is essential because many employees are reluctant to reveal their personal challenges. Leaders with strong digital leadership skills will be better equipped to prepare employee readiness in facing workplace automation (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2023). Adaptive leaders conduct regular one-on-one conversations that do not focus solely on work targets; they inquire about the employee's situation outside of work. Over time, employees become more open regarding challenges such as child-rearing or mental health issues. This personalized flexibility is the core of adaptive leadership. In practice, ambidextrous leadership is also required to balance digital transformation with the exploration of long-term organizational growth (Irfan & Darmawan, 2024).

Another mechanism employed by adaptive leaders is the protection of employees who exercise their right to leave or refuse additional tasks from social pressure exerted by peers (Engen & Gartzia, 2024). Peer pressure is often more intimidating than pressure from superiors, as

employees who take leave may be labeled irresponsible by colleagues forced to bear their workload. Adaptive leaders anticipate this dynamic by facilitating team discussions on how leave and work flexibility will be managed collectively. They implement rotation systems where everyone takes turns in periods of flexibility, ensuring that no individual feels disadvantaged. Furthermore, they explicitly remind the team that judging colleagues who exercise their leave rights constitutes a form of bullying that will not be tolerated. This active protection creates a healthy team norm. Instead of blaming one another, team members learn to support each other when someone needs a break. This is highly crucial, as principles of organizational behavior confirm that a supportive social climate is the foundation of well-being (Darmawan, 2013), and this collective culture is far stronger than individual policy because it creates positive social pressure.

Adaptive leaders also understand that psychological safety cannot be created overnight; it is a gradual process requiring consistency and patience (Priyadharshini et al., 2025). Every action taken by a leader is observed and interpreted by employees. A single negative response to a leave request can shatter trust built over months. Adaptive leaders are acutely aware of this fragility and are deliberate in every interaction. When they must decline a request due to valid operational reasons, they explain the rationale in detail, acknowledge the resulting inconvenience, and seek to provide compensation at a later time. They never reject requests in a harsh or dismissive manner. Consistency is key; employees must witness a predictable pattern of behavior before they feel secure enough to change their own behavior. Adaptive leaders remain committed to this process, even when results are not immediately apparent, while ensuring that effective knowledge management is integrated with work-life quality to enhance employee commitment sustainably (Eddine et al., 2023).

Structural factors, such as performance appraisal systems, often become the primary obstacle to work-life balance, even when leaders strive for improvement (Casper et al., 2024). Traditional appraisal systems measure physical attendance, overtime hours, and willingness to accept additional tasks as indicators of performance. However, studies show that an individual's personality exerts a significant influence on work performance (Darmawan, 2017), which should be valued more than mere

duration of presence. Adaptive leaders face a dilemma between adhering to existing systems or protecting their employees; they choose to challenge the system. They propose changes to appraisal systems at higher management levels and informally adjust how they evaluate subordinates, for instance, by disregarding overtime as an indicator and focusing instead on final outcomes. Such efforts reflect professional integrity in maintaining justice within adversarial systems (Saktiawan et al., 2021). Within the scope of their authority, they create a safe space where employees are not punished for exercising their rights.

Adaptive leaders build psychological safety by acknowledging their own limitations (Westover, 2025b). They do not pretend to have all the answers or the capacity to resolve every issue; instead, they openly admit when they lack knowledge or have made an error. Ethical leadership and social responsibility in such managerial practices are keys to building legitimacy in the eyes of subordinates (Rojak & Darmawan, 2021). This humility often surprises employees accustomed to leaders who strive for perfection, yet it is precisely this vulnerability that fosters a sense of security. Employees feel permitted to be imperfect as well, as a leader's human vulnerability grants the team permission to also be human. This shared humanity serves as the foundation for healthy and sustainable working relationships.

Furthermore, adaptive leaders utilize storytelling and narratives to reshape norms surrounding work-life balance (Westover, 2024). This includes sharing accounts of employees who return from leave with refreshed energy and innovative ideas, or stories of managers who decline evening meetings to attend their children's school events. In their role as agents of social change, leaders use narratives to transform organizational value systems (Rojak et al., 2022). The function of these stories is to create a mental template for how healthy work-life balance is practiced. By prioritizing this approach, organizations can build a balance that significantly improves employee job satisfaction (Arifin et al., 2021). Adaptive leaders intentionally curate and disseminate positive stories while gently correcting negative ones, such as rumors regarding employees being penalized for taking leave. By controlling the narrative, they shape the team's social reality.

Individual differences in work-life balance needs require adaptive leaders to adopt tailored approaches (Mattaparti et al., 2025). An employee with young children may require flexible arrival and departure times, while another caring for elderly parents may need sudden leave for emergencies. Adaptive leaders do not apply uniform flexibility packages; rather, they conduct individual assessments to understand unique circumstances and design appropriate work arrangements. While this personalized approach demands more time and energy than standardized policies, the results are commensurate, as employees feel valued as individuals. This fosters reciprocity employees are more willing to provide extra effort when the organization demonstrates flexibility when they are in need. This relationship is built through continuous investment in understanding each team member.

Adaptive leaders also maintain a delicate balance between providing flexibility and ensuring fairness (Trefalt, 2013). Excessive flexibility without equity can trigger jealousy and conflict, as employees without special needs may perceive their peers as receiving preferential treatment. Adaptive leaders anticipate this by establishing transparent processes. They clearly articulate why certain schedules are granted, emphasizing that flexibility is provided based on need rather than favoritism, and ensure equal access for all to request such arrangements. This transparency and fairness are vital for maintaining collective security. If employees perceive that flexibility is reserved for a select few, those who do not receive it may feel insecure and undermine their colleagues' efforts. Adaptive leaders work diligently to prevent such destructive dynamics.

Often, the greatest obstacles faced by adaptive leaders originate from above (Heifetz & Laurie, 2002). Superiors may hold traditional paradigms that glorify physical presence and overtime, pressuring adaptive leaders to tighten supervision. Navigating this pressure requires high political intelligence. Adaptive leaders learn to present data regarding the benefits of flexibility such as increased retention and productivity to their superiors. They strategically cultivate allies at higher management levels and choose their battles, sometimes retreating temporarily to advance later. The ability to endure pressure from multiple directions is a hallmark of mature adaptive leadership; without it, leaders risk burnout or forced reversion to authoritarian styles.

Ultimately, the success of adaptive leaders in creating psychological safety for work-life balance is measured by tangible changes in employee behavior (Westover, 2024). Indicators include increased confidence in taking leave, reduced unnecessary overtime, greater openness in communicating personal needs, and declining burnout and turnover rates. Adaptive leaders routinely monitor these metrics through both formal data and informal observation. When indicators do not shift as desired, they evaluate and adjust perhaps refining communication or addressing underlying structural barriers. Adaptive leaders never settle for the status quo; they continuously learn and adapt. The journey toward true work-life balance is an ongoing process, and these leaders embrace its imperfections as an inherent part of the evolution toward a healthier organization.

Conclusion

Adaptive leaders build psychological safety through transparent communication regarding employee well-being priorities, leading by example in practicing work-life balance, non-judgmental responses to mistakes, the establishment of explicit boundaries, proactive approaches to understanding individual needs, active protection of employees utilizing their leave rights, long-term consistency, humility in acknowledging limitations, the use of narratives to transform norms, personalization of flexibility based on unique needs, and a balance between flexibility and fairness. The established psychological safety enables employees to have the courage to take leave, refuse additional tasks outside of working hours, and communicate personal needs without fear of retaliation or negative judgment. The success of an adaptive leader is measured by tangible behavioral changes and organizational well-being indicators.

The implications of these findings for leadership development are the necessity for training programs that focus on interpersonal and emotional skills, rather than merely technical skills. Organizations are advised to revise performance appraisal systems so that they no longer penalize employees who practice work-life balance. For future researchers, it is recommended to conduct in-depth qualitative studies on the experiences of adaptive leaders in facing pressure from upper management. Cross-cultural research is also needed to understand how local cultural values influence the effectiveness of the adaptive leadership

approach within the context of work-life balance. This study is limited to the theoretical realm; therefore, future empirical research can test the propositions that have been established. Organizations are encouraged to begin identifying and developing adaptive leaders from within as a long-term investment in the well-being of the workforce.

References

- Abdullah, M. H. A. B., B. Gardi, & D. Darmawan. 2021. Innovation in Human Resource Management to enhance Organizational Competitiveness in the Era of Globalization, *Journal of Social Science Studies* 1(1), 51 – 58.
- Arifin, S., & Mardikaningsih, R. 2021. The Effect of Employability, and Organizational Climate on Employee Performance. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 33-42.
- Arifin, S., Irfan, M., & Darmawan, D. 2021. How to Establish Work-Life Balance to Enhance Employee Job Satisfaction. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 21-24.
- Bamidele, R. 2024. *Impact Of Adaptive Leadership On Strategic Decision-Making And Organizational Resilience*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/Rg.2.2.13933.19683>
- Baronov, D. 2015. *Conceptual Foundations Of Social Research Methods*. Routledge.
- Bryman, A. 2016. *Social Research Methods*. Oxford University Press.
- Casper, W. J., Hyde, S. A., Smith, S. G., Amirkamali, F., & Wayne, J. H. 2025. How effective are work-life balance policies? The importance of inclusion. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 12, 73-108.
- Crowther, D., & Lancaster, G. 2012. *Research Methods*. Routledge.
- Darmawan, D. 2013. *Prinsip Prinsip Perilaku Organisasi*. Pena Semesta - PT. Jepe Press Media Utama, Surabaya.
- Darmawan, D. 2017. The Effects of The Big Five Personality on Job Performance, *Management & Accounting Research Journal*, 2(1), 36 – 42.
- Darmawan, D., Irfan, M., & Al Hakim, Y. R. 2022. Leadership and Employee Welfare in Improving Organizational Productivity. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(2), 173-176.
- Darmawan, D., R. Mardikaningsih, E. A. Sinambela, S. Arifin, A. R. Putra, M. Hariani, M. Irfan, Y. R. Al Hakim, & F. Issalillah. 2020. The Quality of Human Resources, Job Performance and Employee Loyalty. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(3), 2580-2592.
- Eddine, B. A. S. & D. Darmawan. 2021. Professional Employees, Manual Workers, and Informal Workers in Achieving Work Life Balance, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 209-226.

- Eddine, B. A. S. & D. Darmawan. 2022. Social Construction of Work Life Balance in Cultural and Organizational Norms, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 2(1), 213-234.
- Eddine, B. A. S., D. Darmawan, R. Mardikaningsih, E. A. Sinambela. 2023. The Effect of Knowledge Management and Quality of Work Life on Employee Commitment, *Journal of Human Sciences*, 10(1), 87-100.
- Engen, M. Van, & Gartzia, L. 2024. *Leadership, Social Support, And Work-Life Balance Of Employees*. 69-78.
- Furnham, A., Horne, George., Ross, J., & Potter, A. 2025. Assessing Psychological Safety: Correlates Of A New Scale. *Psychology*, 16(11), 1298-1312.
- Gani, A. 2022. Procedural Justice and Negotiation in Day-to-Day Interactions between Leaders and Subordinates, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 2(2), 177-206.
- Gani, A. 2024. Leadership and Organizational Commitment: An Analysis of Mechanisms for Building Employee Loyalty and Delongingness. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 4(1), 439-464.
- Gwak, J., Fulmer, A., & Kong, D. T. 2025. Leader Felt Trust Deficit: A Path To Abusive Supervision Via Shame. *Academy Of Management Annual Meeting Proceedings*, 2025(1).
- Hariani, M. 2021. Organizational Culture and Self-Efficiency that form Employee Performance. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 23-32.
- Hariani, M., & Irfan, M. 2022. The Influence of Leadership and Work Culture on Employee Work Loyalty. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 2(1), 39-48.
- Hariani, M., Mardikaningsih, R., Darmawan, D., & Irfan, M. 2021. Strategies for Developing Perceived Support for Employees in Diverse Work Environments. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 81-88.
- Heifetz, R. A., & Laurie, D. L. 2002. The Work Of Leadership. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 37(1), 49-59.
- Ibrahim, K. 2019. *Thematic Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/Rg.2.2.36275.68649>
- Irfan, M. & T. Sajjapong. 2023. Leadership in Constructing and Reproducing Organizational Culture through Symbols and Rituals, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 3(2), 143-166.
- Irfan, M. 2025. The Role of Transformational Leaders as Bridge Between Technology Policy and Culture Internalization. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 5(1), 401-420.
- Irfan, M., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Improving Psychological Wellbeing through Emotion Management in Daily Life. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 179-184.
- Irfan, M., & Darmawan, D. 2024. Ambidextrous Leadership as a Collective Ecosystem: Balancing Exploitation through Digital Transformation and Exploration for Long Term Growth. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 4(2), 455-480.

- Irfan, M., & Rojak, J. A. 2022. Fostering Innovation-Driven Cultures to Enhance Creative Engagement and Quality of Work Life in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 265-270.
- Liu, K., & Liu, K. 2025. work-Life Balance. In *An Internist's Path: What to Expect after an Internal Medicine Residency*. 269–271.
- Mardikaningsih, R. & D. Darmawan. 2023. The Role of Digital Leadership in Building Employee Readiness for Workplace Automation, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 3(1), 423-446.
- Mardikaningsih, R. & Darmawan, D. 2022. Situational Leadership Strategies to Improve Change Management and Team Performance, *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 247 – 252.
- Mardikaningsih, R. & S. Arifin. 2022. Pengaruh Keterlibatan Karyawan dan Keseimbangan Kehidupan Kerja terhadap Niat Turnover. *Jurnal Baruna Horizon*, 5 (1), 40-49.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Pengalaman Karyawan Terhadap Sistem Kerja Fleksibel dalam Dimensi Kesejahteraan dan Produktivitas. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 11(1), 79-96.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Hariani, M. 2021. Realizing Sustainability in Public Policy: Building a Balance between Economy, Social, and Environment. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 191-196.
- Mattaparti, H., Kesavan, C., Nagadeepa, C., Mattaparti, H., Kesavan, C., & Nagadeepa, C. 2025. *The Work-Life Equation: Exploring The Interplay Of Work-Life Balance And Work Values In Job Embeddedness*. 605–614
- Mironova, J., Sloka, B., Nguyen, T. D., Mironova, J., Sloka, B., & Nguyen, T. D. (2025). Influence Of Burnout On The Economic Development. *Baltic Journal Of Economic Studies*, 11(4), 224–229.
- Molero, F., Mikulincer, M., Shaver, P. R., Laguía, A., & Moriano, J. A. 2019. The Development And Validation Of The Leader As Security Provider Scale. *Revista De Psicología Del Trabajo Y De Las Organizaciones*, 35(3), 183–193.
- Priyadharshini, S. L., Savitha, S., Boopathi, P. S., Jisha, V. G., Priyadharshini, S. L., Savitha, S., Boopathi, P. S., & Jisha, V. G. 2025. *Adaptive Leadership: Nurturing Team Resilience And Agility Through Technological Transformation*. 1027–1041.
- Prosviriakova, I. V., Liew, J. C. W., Minis, D., Wolfrat, A., Ruigrok, W., Lechner, C., Khapova, S., & Lechner, C. 2025. Studying Psychological Safety Across Cultures And Contexts: Insights And Applications. *Academy Of Management Annual Meeting Proceedings*, 2025(1).
- Putra, A. R., Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Organisational Social Capital and Team Collaboration as Supports for Total Quality Management, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 129-146.

- Rojak, J. A, R. K. Khayru, & D. Darmawan. 2021. Citizens' Political Participation in Electoral Democracy and the Dynamics of Civil Society Movements, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 161-176.
- Rojak, J. A. 2025. Peran Pemimpin Transformasional dalam Pengembangan Kompetensi Karyawan. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 15(1), 65-80.
- Rojak, J. A., & Darmawan, D. 2016. Tipologi Dukungan Rekan Kerja dan Pengaruhnya Terhadap Kesejahteraan Karyawan. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 6(1), 49-62.
- Rojak, J. A., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Ethical Leadership and Social Responsibility in Organisational Managerial Practice. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 187-204.
- Rojak, J. A., D. Darmawan, & R. K. Khayru. 2022. Transformational Leadership as an Agent of Social Change in Organizational Value Systems, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 2(1), 191-212.
- Saktiawan, P., Hardyansah, R., Darmawan, D., & Putra, A. R. 2021. Ethical Principles in Indonesian Legal Advocacy: Sustaining Justice in Adversarial Systems Through Professional Integrity. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 239-244.
- Sham, N. I. S. N., Salleh, R., Sheikh, S. S. S., & Saleem, M. S. 2024. Work-Life Balance And Work-Life Integration: A Comparative Analysis Through Conceptual Distinction. *Business Management And Strategy*, 16(1), 39-39.
- Shiratori, E. H. S., & Kawabata, E. L. 2025. *Adaptive Leadership From The Ground Up*. 222-234.
- Singh, S. 2025. *Work-Life Balance And Employee Job Satisfaction*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/Rg.2.2.30290.47047>
- Sott, M. K., & Bender, M. S. 2025. The Role Of Adaptive Leadership In Times Of Crisis: A Systematic Review And Conceptual Framework. *Merits*, 5(1), 2-2.
- Tomasella, G. 2025. *Setting Boundaries*. Routledge. 45-62.
- Trefalt, Š. 2013. Fairly flexible: preventing perceptions of unfairness in enactment of workplace flexibility. In *Expanding the Boundaries of Work-Family Research: A Vision for the Future*. 212-223).
- Westover, J. 2024. *Achieving Work-Life Balance As An Organizational Leader: Strategies For Leaving Work At Work*. 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.70175/Hclreview.2020.14.1.3>
- Westover, J. 2025a. Cultivating An Adaptive Mindset: How Leaders Can Best Prepare Themselves And Their Organizations For Today's VUCA World. *Human Capital Leadership*, 20(3).
- Westover, J. 2025b. Leadership Redefined: Looking Beyond Traditional Models To Thrive In Today's Complex World. *Human Capital Leadership*, 24(3).