



## CHARACTER EDUCATION AND CHILDREN'S SOCIO-EMOTIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE SOCIAL INTERACTION ENVIRONMENT

**Abdul Gani**

Universiti Malaysia Sabah

correspondence: [abdgani.sn087@gmail.com](mailto:abdgani.sn087@gmail.com)

### **Abstract**

This study investigates the extent to which character education contributes to children's socio-emotional development within social interaction frameworks. Drawing on a literature-based analysis, the research explores how moral instruction fosters core emotional competencies including empathy, emotional regulation, moral reasoning, social awareness, and conflict resolution. The review reveals that when character values are integrated into classroom environments, children are better equipped to manage peer relationships, resolve disagreements constructively, and engage ethically in group settings. Key dimensions of socio-emotional growth are shown to be activated by consistent moral modeling, reflective practices, and cooperative learning structures embedded in value-centered instruction. The study highlights the reciprocal dynamic between self-concept formation and social behavior, noting that children internalize moral identities which in turn guide their relational responses. Inclusive classrooms that emphasize fairness, compassion, and shared norms are found to cultivate emotional security and prosocial conduct. The findings underscore the importance of aligning moral development with emotional learning to foster holistic relational intelligence.

**Keywords:** character education, socio-emotional development, empathy, moral reasoning, peer interaction, emotional regulation, value formation

## Introduction

In the evolving field of educational psychology, character education has emerged as a foundational framework for shaping young learners' ethical disposition and interpersonal orientation (Lapsley & Woodbury, 2016). This paradigm extends beyond cognitive development to encompass how children understand, interpret, and enact social behaviors in their daily interactions. Institutions that embed character values within their instructional models aim to instill not merely discipline or conformity, but deeper moral agency that encourages children to interact with empathy, integrity, and cooperation. Such outcomes are particularly vital in early developmental stages when social scripts are still forming, and behavioral norms are heavily influenced by contextual learning (Pala, 2011). Even simple classroom interactions, when guided by character principles, can leave lasting impressions on a child's moral outlook.

Socio-emotional development, meanwhile, encompasses a spectrum of psychological competencies that include emotional regulation, empathy, social awareness, and relationship management (Mondi et al., 2021). These dimensions are crucial for navigating the complex landscape of human interaction. Children who develop strong socio-emotional faculties demonstrate greater resilience, better communication, and higher adaptability in group dynamics (Walker et al., 2015). Educators and developmental scientists increasingly recognize that these capabilities are not incidental but can be intentionally nurtured through structured character education programs (Haslip et al., 2019). As such, the intersection between value formation and emotional intelligence becomes a critical axis for fostering prosocial behavior (Reetz & Jacobs, 1999). When cultivated early, these traits not only support personal well-being but also contribute to the creation of more compassionate.

Within classroom environments, character education provides a stable moral framework that reinforces positive relational patterns. Children exposed to consistent ethical messaging—rooted in fairness, responsibility, and compassion—are more likely to engage constructively with peers, resolve conflicts peacefully, and exhibit tolerance across differences (Baehr, 2017). These character traits, when practiced through daily interactions, reinforce emotional maturity and collaborative behavior. The educational space, therefore, becomes not only a site of academic instruction but also a social laboratory where interpersonal ethics

are explored, tested, and internalized (Leander et al., 2010). Over time, this foundation shapes a learning culture grounded in mutual respect and shared responsibility.

In this regard, character education can be understood as a mediator between formal schooling and the broader socio-emotional ecosystem of the child (Santamaria-Villar et al., 2021). The values children acquire within institutional settings reverberate through peer groups, families, and communities, influencing how they perceive and respond to the needs of others (Oladipo, 2009). When moral learning is embedded in real-world interactions, it builds the capacity for compassion and mutual understanding (Cooper, 2010). Thus, rather than being viewed as ancillary, character education must be analyzed as a vital component in shaping children's relational intelligence and social participation. Its influence extends beyond individual behavior, contributing to the moral fabric of the community itself (Rai & Fiske., 2011).

Despite its conceptual promise, character education often encounters fragmentation in both implementation and theoretical articulation (Pattaro, 2016). Many school systems adopt character-building initiatives without aligning them to developmental benchmarks or social-emotional learning outcomes. Lickona (1991) criticized such models as morally superficial, noting that character must be consistently taught, modeled, and reinforced across various domains of child development. This lack of integration results in moral messaging that is abstract, inconsistent, or disconnected from children's lived experiences, thereby weakening its formative potential (Narvaez, 2008).

Compounding the issue is the tendency to emphasize behavioral compliance over internalized values. Kohlberg (1984) argued that genuine moral development requires reflective engagement, not rote memorization of rules or externally imposed discipline. When children are rewarded solely for outward conduct, they may conform without understanding the ethical principles behind their actions (Kohlberg, 2008). This disconnect can produce a brittle form of social behavior that is dependent on authority rather than grounded in conscience, undermining the development of emotional sensitivity (Singh, 2019). Sustainable character growth, therefore, demands an educational approach that cultivates understanding, not just obedience (Zulela et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the literature indicates a persistent gap between character curricula and socio-emotional competencies (Lechner et al., 2019). Many programs treat these domains as separate pedagogical objectives, rather than recognizing their interdependence. Elias et al. (1997) emphasized that effective character instruction must be synchronized with socio-emotional learning, as values like empathy, respect, and responsibility are both moral and emotional constructs. Failure to bridge these domains results in fragmented development, where students may express emotional intelligence but lack moral clarity—or vice versa—thereby limiting the overall coherence of their social adaptation (Morales et al., 2020). Integrating both elements allows for a more unified developmental trajectory, fostering individuals who can feel deeply and act ethically.

Research in developmental science strongly supports the need to examine how value education influences children's social capacities (Walker et al., 2015). The integration of ethical guidance and emotional support enhances the relational quality of peer interactions and promotes inclusive group dynamics (Juvonen et al., 2019). Such relational growth is indispensable in multicultural classrooms and socially diverse communities, where misunderstanding and exclusion are real risks. By cultivating moral attentiveness alongside emotional fluency, character education helps children build connections based on respect, compassion, and fairness, fostering environments that are psychologically safe and socially vibrant (Taja et al., 2021). These environments not only support individual development but also lay the foundation for inclusive and empathetic communities.

Children in their formative years are particularly receptive to modeled behavior and embedded moral narratives (Killen & Smetana, 2015). Educational settings, therefore, function as primary sites for transmitting ethical templates and emotional responses (Brown et al., 2020). The way teachers frame cooperation, resolve conflict, or acknowledge difference becomes part of the implicit curriculum that shapes children's social worldview. If these settings consistently reinforce compassion and responsibility, children are more likely to internalize these traits and carry them into their broader social circles. This reciprocal dynamic between environment and emotional development merits

sustained academic investigation (Ungar et al., 2013). In this way, everyday school experiences shape children's moral and emotional development, influencing both their relationships with others and their sense of social responsibility.

This study seeks to explore how character education contributes to the development of socio-emotional competencies in children, particularly in the realm of everyday social interaction. The aim is to analyze how values such as empathy, fairness, and responsibility are internalized through pedagogical practices and translated into relational behaviors. By synthesizing existing theoretical and empirical literature, this research offers a conceptual framework for understanding how moral education intersects with emotional growth and interpersonal fluency. The findings are intended to inform educators, curriculum developers, and child development specialists about the importance of integrating ethical instruction into socially engaged learning environments. Ultimately, the study aspires to reinforce character education as a central pillar of holistic child development.

## Method

This study employs a literature-based analytical method designed to explore the relationship between character education and socio-emotional development in children. The review draws upon empirical and theoretical contributions across the domains of educational psychology, developmental science, and moral pedagogy. Rather than adopting a purely descriptive or chronological synthesis, the analysis focuses on identifying conceptual linkages and pedagogical mechanisms through which character education supports the emotional and interpersonal growth of children. This method allows for a multidimensional engagement with scholarly materials, enabling the interpretation of existing findings in ways that illuminate emergent patterns and underlying theoretical consistencies.

Relevant sources were identified through strategic searches across established academic databases such as JSTOR, ERIC, and ProQuest, using key terms including "character education," "socio-emotional development," "moral formation," and "social interaction in children." Only peer-reviewed publications and seminal theoretical works from

reputable academic presses were selected, ensuring the scholarly reliability of the analysis. Methodological orientation for the review was guided by Hart (1998), who emphasizes that a literature review in social science must extend beyond information compilation to the construction of analytical insight. The structural approach to coding and thematic grouping was informed by Jesson, Matheson, and Lacey (2001), who advocate for systematic reading and critical synthesis to identify theoretical convergence and interpretive depth across disciplinary contributions.

## Result and Discussion

Character education plays a very important role in children's psychological development, especially regarding social interactions with peers. From an early age, children begin to build an understanding of social relationships through the social schemas they develop (Baehr, 2017). This process involves observing and assessing the interpersonal dynamics they witness and experience. In this regard, character education serves as a foundation that helps children understand and navigate the complexities of social relationships (Kim, 2012).

Through character education, children are introduced to values that shape the way they interact with others. The material taught focuses on academic aspects as well as covering moral development that is essential for establishing ethical boundaries. Character education provides a rich context for children to evaluate fairness, respond with empathy and understand the intentions of others (Curren, 2017). This is crucial in shaping the way they behave in diverse social situations (Avetisyan & Aleksanyan, 2020). These foundational values equip children to approach social complexity with both sensitivity.

When character education is systematically integrated into school culture and instructional design, children can develop moral scripts that will guide them in dealing with challenging social situations (Elias et al., 2008; Singh, 2019). These scripts serve as guides that help them respond in more constructive and positive ways. By having a better understanding of moral values, children can interact more effectively and harmoniously with their peers (Smith, 2013). Such integration transforms the school environment into a dynamic space for moral learning and practical application.

Character education contributes to the development of social skills, and improves children's emotional intelligence (Khusnidakhon, 2021). By understanding and managing their own emotions, as well as recognizing the emotions of others, children can participate in more cooperative interactions (Warneken, 2018). This creates a supportive environment where children feel safe to express themselves and learn from their social experiences (Walker et al., 2015). Ultimately, this holistic growth fosters resilient, empathetic individuals capable of thriving in diverse social contexts.

Character education serves as a pillar that supports children's social and emotional development (Puyo, 2021). By providing a clear framework for understanding interpersonal relationships, character education helps children build the skills necessary to function well in society (Lavy, 2020). Through an integrated approach, character education not only shapes better individuals, but also creates more harmonious and supportive communities (Elias et al., 2008). This dual impact highlights character education as essential both for personal growth and for fostering collective well-being.

Moral values introduced in classroom settings—such as honesty, respect, compassion, and responsibility—are not absorbed in isolation but are internalized through repeated exposure and contextual application (Killen & Smetana, 2015). This pedagogical immersion generates socio-affective patterns that shape how children express concern, manage emotional conflicts, and form inclusive social bonds (Baehr, 2017). According to Berkowitz and Grych (1998), children are more likely to demonstrate emotional coherence when they can connect moral language to behavioral expectations and peer experiences. In this way, character education becomes a cognitive-emotional interface through which children learn to manage relational tensions constructively and empathetically.

One of the most consistent findings in developmental psychology is the role of empathy in social adaptation (Findlay et al., 2006). Character education enhances empathy not merely by naming it as a virtue but by embedding it within structured activities such as cooperative learning, role-playing, and moral discussion (Pattaro, 2016). These experiential modalities facilitate perspective-taking, allowing children to engage with diverse viewpoints and recognize the emotional states of others. Schonert-Reichl and Hymel (2007) emphasize that empathy, when practiced in educational settings, functions as both a cognitive

and affective skill that reduces aggressive behavior, improves group cohesion, and supports prosocial orientation across peer networks.

In addition to empathy, emotional regulation is a critical facet of socio-emotional development, especially in managing interpersonal tensions. Character education supports this regulation by framing emotional responses within ethical norms and shared communal expectations (Walker et al., 2015). Children who understand that frustration, anger, or disappointment can be acknowledged but must be expressed responsibly are better equipped to navigate conflicts without resorting to aggression or withdrawal. Lickona (1991) maintains that moral instruction grounded in reflective practice helps children develop self-awareness and social mindfulness, two competencies that are indispensable for sustained relational maturity.

Moreover, character education amplifies social awareness, enabling children to perceive group dynamics and relational structures beyond egocentric perspectives (Smith, 2013). Social awareness is not merely knowing that others exist, but understanding the emotional interdependence of peer groups and acting in ways that contribute to collective well-being. When values such as justice and kindness are explicitly modeled by educators and reinforced through peer recognition, children begin to adopt these norms as part of their social identity. This adoption supports inclusivity, discourages exclusionary behavior, and strengthens the moral ecology of the classroom as a microcosm of society (Singh, 2019).

The internalization of character values is further reinforced through consistent adult modeling. Teachers and school staff act as moral exemplars whose behavioral consistency provides affective security for students (Baehr, 2017). When authority figures demonstrate compassion, patience, and fairness in daily interactions, children experience these traits as emotionally resonant and socially valid. Narvaez and Lapsley (2005) argue that such modeling helps embed moral affect in neural and behavioral patterns, creating moral routines that translate into automatic socio-emotional responses in peer contexts. These routines form the implicit curriculum of character education and the fostering internalized moral instincts that guide children to act ethically and prosocially, even without external reinforcement.

Peer interaction is another powerful site of socio-emotional learning. Character education enhances the quality of peer relations by creating a shared value framework within which relational boundaries are negotiated

(Walker et al., 2015). When children agree on norms of respect, truthfulness, and accountability, they are more likely to maintain positive friendships and resolve disagreements amicably. Berkowitz and Bier (2005) note that classrooms with strong moral climates experience fewer incidences of bullying and greater cooperation, suggesting that shared values act as relational lubricants that facilitate smoother, more empathetic interaction patterns.

Furthermore, character education influences children's capacity for moral reasoning, which in turn enriches their ability to navigate complex social situations (Pattaro, 2016). Children exposed to moral dilemmas and ethical reflection in the classroom learn to articulate their emotional responses in structured ways, integrating feelings with values and consequences. This reflective capacity allows them to resolve peer disputes not through impulsive reaction but through deliberation and ethical judgment. Kohlberg (1984) suggests that such reasoning marks a developmental progression from pre-conventional behavior to a more socially attuned, principle-based interaction style.

The development of self-concept is also closely tied to character formation. Children who perceive themselves as honest, kind, or fair are more likely to behave in ways that confirm these traits in social contexts. This phenomenon, sometimes referred to as moral identity internalization, links character traits with personal narrative, providing children with a stable moral compass during interactions (Elias et al., 2008). Damon (1988) emphasizes that such self-concepts are reinforced by consistent recognition and opportunities for moral action, both of which are integral to comprehensive character education programs.

Another critical dimension is the sense of belonging fostered through shared moral culture (Goss et al., 2019). Character education helps construct inclusive environments where children feel emotionally safe and socially valued. This emotional security enhances their willingness to participate in group activities, express vulnerability, and extend support to others. The literature consistently associates feelings of belonging with higher emotional resilience, reduced anxiety, and increased social engagement. When children perceive that ethical behavior is not only taught but expected and celebrated, they are more likely to contribute constructively to the emotional fabric of their community (Zembylas & McGlynn, 2012).

Character education also mediates the development of conflict resolution skills. Rather than relying solely on adult intervention, students equipped with value-based reasoning can negotiate disagreements with empathy and fairness (Elias et al., 2008). They learn to distinguish between intention and impact, to acknowledge harm, and to seek reconciliation. These processes reduce relational volatility and contribute to long-term social harmony. Elias et al. (1997) argue that schools which integrate character education with emotional learning report higher instances of student-initiated conflict resolution and lower rates of disciplinary intervention.

Language development is another pathway through which character education supports socio-emotional growth (Snyder, 2014). Moral vocabulary allows children to label emotions, articulate values, and engage in ethical dialogue. This linguistic fluency supports emotional clarity and mutual understanding in peer interactions. When children can name feelings and connect them to ethical expectations, they are less likely to misinterpret intentions or escalate conflict. The articulation of ethical perspectives enhances interpersonal transparency and promotes trust, key components of emotionally intelligent relationships (Singh, 2019).

Character education additionally builds motivation for prosocial behavior through intrinsic value alignment (Pattaro, 2016). Children who act out of internalized ethical beliefs, rather than external enforcement, demonstrate higher consistency in social behavior across settings. This internal motivation fosters a proactive orientation toward helping, sharing, and collaborating. It also reinforces emotional satisfaction derived from service, gratitude, and reciprocal kindness—emotions that further anchor prosocial behavior as part of daily social life (Baehr, 2017).

Cultural sensitivity within character education also contributes to inclusive socio-emotional development. Programs that honor diverse moral traditions while emphasizing universal values foster empathy across difference (Walker et al., 2015). This is particularly relevant in multicultural settings, where social misunderstandings can be mitigated through shared ethical dialogue. When children learn to value others' perspectives while holding to core principles, they develop both moral flexibility and emotional stability, essential for peaceful coexistence in pluralistic communities.

Finally, character education supports the scaffolding of emotional autonomy. Children who are morally anchored can self-regulate in the face of

peer pressure, emotional provocation, or ethical ambiguity. This autonomy empowers them to act in alignment with personal values, even in socially complex situations. It strengthens the capacity for assertiveness without aggression and kindness without submission, cultivating a relational maturity that is emotionally coherent and ethically robust (Goodman & Kitzmiller, 2010).

## Conclusion

Character education offers a significant pathway for enhancing children's socio-emotional development by embedding ethical values within relational contexts. As this literature-based analysis has demonstrated, character instruction contributes to the cultivation of empathy, emotional regulation, social awareness, and moral reasoning—all of which are fundamental to meaningful and responsible interpersonal engagement. When educational environments emphasize consistent value transmission, reflective practice, and experiential moral learning, children acquire the tools necessary to navigate social interaction with maturity, understanding, and integrity. The synergy between internalized virtues and social functioning is what renders character education indispensable to a child's emotional architecture and communal participation.

The findings of this review suggest that educational systems must reframe character education as a foundational component of socio-emotional learning rather than a supplemental or isolated intervention. Integrating ethical development with emotional growth fosters not only better classroom behavior but also more resilient, compassionate, and civically oriented individuals. Policymakers, curriculum designers, and teacher educators should collaborate to embed character education within pedagogical practices that prioritize inclusive dialogue, value-based reflection, and real-world social application. Doing so contributes to a comprehensive developmental model that honors both moral formation and relational fluency.

Future research should examine longitudinal trajectories of students who receive structured character education, focusing on the sustainability of socio-emotional competencies over time and across diverse cultural settings. Empirical studies can also explore how specific character traits interact with particular emotional dimensions in peer conflict, group inclusion, or ethical decision-making. Schools are encouraged to

implement cross-disciplinary approaches that unify character and emotional learning within everyday instruction, thereby reinforcing moral values not just as curricular goals but as lived experiences shaping future societal cohesion.

## References

- Baehr, J. 2017. The varieties of character and some implications for character education. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 46(1), 1153-1161.
- Berkowitz, M. W., & Bier, M. C. 2007. What works in character education. *Journal of Research in Character Education*, 5(1), 29-48.
- Berkowitz, M. W., & Grych, J. H. 1998. Fostering goodness: Teaching parents to facilitate children's moral development. *Journal of Moral Education*, 27(3), 371-391.
- Brown, C., Spiro, J., & Quinton, S. 2020. The role of research ethics committees: Friend or foe in educational research? An exploratory study. *British Educational Research Journal*, 46(4), 747-769. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3654>
- Cameron, K., & Dutton, J. 2003. *Positive organizational scholarship: Foundations of a new discipline*. California: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Cooper, B. 2010. In search of profound empathy in learning relationships: Understanding the mathematics of moral learning environments. *Journal of Moral Education*, 39(1), 79-99.
- Curren, R. 2017. Why character education? *Journal Impact*, 2017(24), 1-44.
- Damon, W. 1988. *The moral child: Nurturing children's natural moral growth*. New York: Free Press.
- Elias, M. J., Parker, S. J., & Kash, V. M. 2008. Social and emotional learning, moral education, and character education: A comparative analysis and a view toward convergence. In *Handbook of moral and character education*. London: Routledge.
- Elias, M., Zins, J. E., & Weissberg, R. P. 1997. *Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators*. Virginia: ASCD Publisher.
- Findlay, L. C., Girardi, A., & Coplan, R. J. 2006. Links between empathy, social behavior, and social understanding in early childhood. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 21(3), 347-359.
- Goodman, J. F., & Kitzmiller, E. 2010. Suppression of the aggressive impulse: Conceptual difficulties in anti-violence programs. *Ethics and Education*, 5(2), 117-134.
- Goss, A. L., Viswanathan, V. B., & DeLisser, H. M. 2019. Not just a specimen: A qualitative study of emotion, morality, and professionalism in one medical school gross anatomy laboratory. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 12(4), 349-359.
- Hart, C. 1998. *Doing a literature review: Releasing the social science research imagination*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Haslip, M. J., Allen-Handy, A., & Donaldson, L. 2019. How do children and teachers demonstrate love, kindness, and forgiveness? Findings from an early childhood strength-spotting intervention. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 47, 531-547.
- Jesson, J., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F. M. 2001. *Doing your literature review: Traditional and systematic techniques*. London: SAGE Publications.

- Juvonen, J., Lessard, L. M., Rastogi, R., Schacter, H. L., & Smith, D. S. 2019. Promoting social inclusion in educational settings: Challenges and opportunities. *Educational Psychologist*, 54(4), 250-270.
- Khusnidakhon, K. 2021. The importance of enhancing social skills of preschoolers. *European Scholar Journal*, 2(3), 74-78.
- Killen, M., & Smetana, J. G. 2015. Origins and development of morality. *Handbook of Child Psychology and Developmental*, 3(7), 701-749.
- Kim, S. W. 2012. Character Development Theory and Its Implications for Children. *Journal of Christian Education & Information Technology*, 22(3), 69-86.
- Kohlberg, L. 1984. *The psychology of moral development: Essays on moral development (Vol. 2)*. San Francisco: Harper & Row.
- Kohlberg, L. 2008. The Development of Children's Orientations Toward a Moral Order. *Human Development*, 51(1), 8-20.
- Lapsley, D., & Woodbury, R. 2016. Moral-character development for teacher education. *Action in Teacher Education*, 38(3), 194-206.
- Lavy, S. 2020. A review of character strengths interventions in twenty-first-century schools: Their importance and how they can be fostered. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 15(2), 573-596.
- Leander, K. M., Phillips, N. C., & Taylor, K. H. 2010. The changing social spaces of learning: Mapping new mobilities. *Review of Research in Education*, 34(1), 329-394.
- Lechner, C. M., Anger, S., & Rammstedt, B. 2019. Socio-emotional skills in education and beyond: Recent evidence and future research avenues. In *Research Handbook on the Sociology of Education*. UK: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Lickona, T. 1991. *Educating for character: How our schools can teach respect and responsibility*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Minas, A., & Charles, A. 2020. Character schools in supporting character education in students. *Journal Educational Verkenning*, 1(2), 1-7.
- Mondi, C. F., Giovanelli, A., & Reynolds, A. J. 2021. Fostering socio-emotional learning through early childhood intervention. *International Journal of Child Care and Education Policy*, 15(1), 1-43. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40723-021-00084>
- Morales Rodriguez, F. M., Rodriguez Clares, R., & García Muñoz, M. R. 2020. Influence of resilience, everyday stress, self-efficacy, self-esteem, emotional intelligence, and empathy on attitudes toward sexual and gender diversity rights. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(17), 1-21.
- Narvaez, D. 2008. Triune ethics: The neurobiological roots of our multiple moralities. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 26(1), 95-119.
- Narvaez, D., & Lapsley, D. K. 2005. *Character psychology and character education: The psychological foundations of moral education and character development*. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Oladipo, S. E. 2009. Moral education of the child: Whose responsibility? *Journal of Social Sciences*, 20(2), 149-156.

- Pala, A. 2011. The need for character education. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanity Studies*, 3(2), 23-32.
- Pattaro, C. 2016. Character education: Themes and researches. An academic literature review. *Italian Journal of Sociology of Education*, 8(1), 6-30.
- Puyo, J. G. B. 2021. A value and character educational model: Repercussions for students, teachers, and families. *Journal of Culture and Values in Education*, 4(1), 100-115. <https://doi.org/10.46303/jcve.2020.7>
- Rai, T. S., & Fiske, A. P. 2011. Moral psychology is relationship regulation: Moral motives for unity, hierarchy, equality, and proportionality. *Psychological Review*, 118(1), 57-75. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021867>
- Reetz, L. J., & Jacobs, G. M. 1999. Faculty focus on moral and character education. *Education*, 120(2), 3-13.
- Santamaria-Villar, M. B., Gilar-Corbi, R., Pozo-Rico, T., & Castejón, J. L. 2021. Teaching socio-emotional competencies among primary school students: Improving conflict resolution and promoting democratic co-existence in schools. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12(1), 1-16. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.659348
- Schonert-Reichl, K. A., & Hymel, S. 2007. Educating the heart as well as the mind. *Educational Leadership*, 64(9), 38-42.
- Singh, B. 2019. Character education in the 21st century. *Journal of Social Studies (JSS)*, 15(1), 1-12.
- Smith, B. H. 2013. School-based character education in the United States. *Childhood Education*, 89(6), 350-355.
- Snyder, F. J. 2014. Socio-emotional and character development: A theoretical orientation. *Journal of Character Education*, 10(2), 1-24.
- Taja, N., Nurdin, E. S., Kosasih, A., Suresman, E., & Supriyadi, T. 2021. Character education in the pandemic era: A religious ethical learning model through Islamic education. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 20(11), 132-153. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.20.11.8>
- Ungar, M., Ghazinour, M., & Richter, J. 2013. Annual research review: What is resilience within the social ecology of human development? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(4), 348-366.
- Walker, D. I., Roberts, M. P., & Kristjánsson, K. 2015. Towards a new era of character education in theory and in practice. *Educational Review*, 67(1), 79-96.
- Warneken, F. 2018. How children solve the two challenges of cooperation. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 69(1), 205-229.
- Zembylas, M., & McGlynn, C. 2012. Discomforting pedagogies: Emotional tensions, ethical dilemmas and transformative possibilities. *British Educational Research Journal*, 38(1), 41-59.
- Zulela, M. S., Neolaka, A., Iasha, V., & Setiawan, B. 2022. How is the education character implemented? The case study in Indonesian elementary school. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 12(1), 371-380.