



## ORGANISATIONAL SOCIAL CAPITAL AND TEAM COLLABORATION AS SUPPORTS FOR TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT

Arif Rachman Putra, Rahayu Mardikaningsih, Didit Darmawan

Universitas Mayjen Sungkono Mojokerto

correspondence: dr.diditdarmawan@gmail.com

### Abstract

This article develops a conceptual discussion of social capital and team collaboration in Total Quality Management within organisations. It explains how trust, internal networks, and social cohesion support a shared orientation toward continuous improvement in daily work. Trust is described as a condition that enables open communication about process weaknesses and failures without excessive fear of blame. Organisational networks, both formal and informal, are presented as channels through which knowledge, experience, and improvement ideas circulate across units. Social cohesion within teams strengthens collective responsibility for quality goals and provides emotional support when improvement projects require extra effort or encounter setbacks. The paper argues that Total Quality Management succeeds when technical tools and procedures are embedded in a relational environment characterised by reliability, mutual respect, and willingness to learn together. By articulating the links between social capital, collaborative work, and quality-oriented culture, the article offers a conceptual frame that can guide future empirical studies and inform managers who seek to nurture sustainable quality improvement in their organisations.

**Keywords:** Social Capital, Team Collaboration, Total Quality Management, Trust, Organisational Networks, Social Cohesion, Continuous Improvement Culture.

## Introduction

Modern organizations face increasingly high quality demands from customers, regulators, and other stakeholders. Changes in the work environment require organizations to continuously improve how they manage human resources and work processes in order to adapt to evolving demands (Darmawan, 2013). In many sectors, management can no longer rely solely on hierarchical supervision to ensure the quality of services and products. These demands have encouraged the emergence of an integrated quality management approach that places quality as the main orientation of all organizational activities. Within this framework, the success of an institution is no longer measured solely through the achievement of short-term targets, but through the ability to maintain quality consistently over time (Mukherjee, 2019). Experience in many organizations shows that efforts to maintain quality require the involvement of all members, not merely the decisions of leaders. This situation requires working relationships based on mutual trust, open communication flows, and a strong sense of togetherness among team members (Peterson, 1998). Therefore, elements commonly referred to as social capital have gained increasing attention in discussions of quality management.

Total Quality Management, often abbreviated as TQM, is understood as a managerial approach that places continuous improvement at the core of organizational activities (Öztürk et al., 2016). Quality management approaches also emphasize the importance of a planned quality control system so that every work process can be monitored and continuously improved according to organizational standards (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2020). TQM encourages everyone in the organization to think critically about work processes, identify sources of inefficiency, and propose improvement ideas. These efforts require close collaboration among various units and functions because the quality of an output is usually the result of a chain of cross-functional processes. Within teams, members are expected to share information, provide constructive feedback to one another, and support colleagues when testing new ideas. Such conditions can only be achieved when trust develops among members that suggestions and criticisms are used for collective interests rather than to undermine others. Social capital in the form of trust, norms of mutual assistance, and networks

of informal relationships therefore becomes an essential supporting element in the implementation of TQM.

Team collaboration in the implementation of TQM does not occur in isolation but takes place within networks of relationships that extend beyond formal unit boundaries (Aune, 1998). Cross-functional cooperation is often supported through joint training activities that help members understand work processes in other departments so that team coordination becomes more effective (Fared & Darmawan, 2021). Quality improvement teams, quality control circles, or cross-functional working groups are often formed to map problems and design process improvements. The success of such teams is greatly influenced by the ability of their members to build open communication and appreciate the diversity of expertise. Trust that develops within the team makes members more willing to express weaknesses in processes they know, because they feel protected by a learning-supportive environment. Informal networks among individuals, for example through friendships or membership in internal communities, often facilitate the spread of improvement ideas. In this context, team collaboration does not rely solely on formal structures but also utilizes more fluid relationship networks as channels of information and support.

Social capital in organizations can be viewed as a collection of resources embedded in relationships among individuals and groups (Hammer et al., 2013). Good social relationships within organizations are often formed through the application of management values that respect differences in culture and the backgrounds of organizational members (Hariani & Mardikaningsih, 2021). Trust, norms of mutual respect, and habits of cooperation are important components of social capital. Within the framework of TQM, social capital functions as social energy that encourages members to actively participate in improvement activities. In workplaces characterized by mutual trust, team members will more easily accept feedback, acknowledge mistakes, and be willing to change their ways of working. Conversely, when relationships among individuals are marked by suspicion, improvement initiatives tend to stagnate because people are reluctant to expose weaknesses in the processes they manage. Therefore, discussions about TQM that focus only on technical tools and procedures need to be enriched with an understanding of how social capital is formed and maintained.

A culture of continuous improvement requires the presence of strong social cohesion within teams (Unzueta et al., 2020). Cohesion refers to members' sense of attachment to the team, their perception of shared goals, and their willingness to prioritize collective interests over personal interests when necessary. Such conditions are also often associated with the level of discipline, competence, and work motivation of individuals that contribute to the overall quality of team performance (Arifin et al., 2017). Cohesive teams tend to show high solidarity, close communication, and the ability to resolve conflicts constructively. In the implementation of TQM, this cohesion is important so that members feel that the success of quality improvement is a shared success rather than the success of a particular unit. Cohesion built through shared work experiences, collective achievements, and emotional support when facing quality pressures provides the psychological foundation for the courage to experiment with new ways of working (Darmawan & Mardikaningsih, 2021). Thus, social cohesion becomes a bridge between the abstract concept of social capital and concrete behaviors within teams.

The problem that arises in many organizations is that the implementation of TQM is often understood merely as the introduction of procedures and technical instruments, without paying attention to the quality of social relationships among those who carry them out (Dilawo & Salimi, 2019). Management may establish standards, performance indicators, and audit schedules, but when trust among members is low, improvement activities can easily turn into administrative routines. Improvement teams may be formally established, yet their members may lack a sense of attachment and be reluctant to share honest information about weaknesses in processes. Organizational sustainability is greatly influenced by management's ability to manage internal relationships in a healthy manner amid continuously changing managerial challenges (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2021). Work networks that are actually rich within organizations are not utilized optimally because the atmosphere of individual competition is more prominent than the spirit of collaboration. As a result, TQM risks becoming a managerial slogan that is not accompanied by real changes in work behavior.

Another issue relates to the limited understanding of social capital as a supporting factor for the success of TQM. In several organizations, efforts to develop quality tend to focus on technical training, updating procedures,

and procuring new technologies, while the social dimension is neglected. Trust is assumed to develop naturally, whereas in reality, the history of interactions, leadership patterns, and reward systems strongly influence the level of mutual trust. In addition, clear communication regarding the value of organizational sustainability is also important so that members understand that work quality is related to the organization's responsibility toward the environment and society (Essa & Mardikaningsih, 2021). Informal work networks that have the potential to become channels for the dissemination of improvement ideas are sometimes viewed negatively and therefore not facilitated. Team cohesion can also be disrupted by policies that overly emphasize individual competition, for example reward systems that only honor personal achievements. This lack of understanding often causes organizations to be surprised when TQM programs that appear well structured on paper fail to produce a culture of continuous improvement.

This study is conducted with the aim of fulfilling the need to build a framework of thought capable of explaining the relationship between social aspects and the success of quality programs. In the midst of competition that demands organizational agility in adapting, understanding how trust, work networks, and social cohesion influence an organization's readiness to learn becomes very important. Strengthening social relationships within organizations can help companies develop more sustainable approaches to marketing and social responsibility (Arifin & Darmawan, 2021). A literature study provides the opportunity to explore various theoretical approaches to social capital, different models of team collaboration, as well as descriptions of a culture of continuous improvement within TQM. The results are expected to serve as a reference for researchers and practitioners who wish to design quality improvement interventions not only through technical instruments but also through strengthening social relationships within organizations.

Based on the background described above, the research problem formulated in this paper is as follows: how a conceptual framework regarding the relationship between social capital and team collaboration can be developed to explain the support of trust, work networks, and social cohesion in forming a culture of continuous improvement in the implementation of Total Quality Management within organizations. This question directs the discussion toward linking the concept of social capital

with the dynamics of teamwork, as well as examining how the three elements of trust, networks, and cohesion operate within the process of continuous quality improvement. This formulation focuses the study on the theoretical domain without entering empirical measurement.

The aim of this paper is to provide a systematic conceptual overview of the role of social capital and team collaboration in the implementation of TQM, emphasising the role of trust, professional networks, and social cohesion as enablers of a culture of continuous improvement. Specifically, this paper aims to explain how elements of social capital manifest in team interactions, describe the relationship between team dynamics and TQM principles, and summarise the theoretical implications for human resource management and the development of a quality culture. From a practical perspective, this study is intended to serve as a normative reference for organisational leaders and quality facilitators in designing measures to strengthen internal social capital in alignment with the principles of continuous improvement.

## Method

Qualitative literature study is an appropriate approach to analyze the conceptual relationships among social capital, team collaboration, and the implementation of TQM. Arshed and Danson (2015) emphasize that a literature review in business research is not merely a summary, but a process of selection and synthesis aimed at building a critical dialogue among various sources. With this perspective, literature concerning social capital, teamwork, and quality management is examined in layers to identify patterns of ideas and gaps in understanding. Dawson (2002) highlights the importance of carefulness in designing research questions, selecting valid sources, and maintaining logical consistency throughout the writing process. This principle is followed by formulating keywords and inclusion criteria, then evaluating the relevance of each source to the study's focus. Richey and Klein (2014) add that in design and development research, theoretical review serves as a foundation for formulating a framework that can guide the improvement of practices.

In conducting this study, the author first identified key sources discussing TQM, social capital, and team collaboration, then grouped them according to themes. The approach recommended by Dawson (2002) was used to ensure that the process of recording and coding ideas was carried out

systematically. The suggestion from Arshed and Danson (2015) regarding the preparation of a structured review was applied by clearly distinguishing the sections that discuss the concept of social capital, the sections describing team dynamics, and the sections linking them to a quality culture. Richey and Klein (2014) were used as references in viewing the conceptual framework developed as an initial design that may later be tested through development research. Thus, the qualitative literature study method used in this research does not stop at the accumulation of citations, but is directed toward constructing a conceptual model of how trust, work networks, and social cohesion support team collaboration within the framework of TQM.

## Result and Discussion

Total Quality Management (TQM) is an integrated management approach that places quality as the main orientation in all organizational activities through the comprehensive involvement of leaders and organizational members to achieve continuous improvement and stakeholder satisfaction. TQM is not only understood as a system of quality control for products or services, but also as a management philosophy that integrates work culture, operational processes, data-based decision making, and collective commitment to high performance standards. In the context of modern organizations, TQM emphasizes the importance of process consistency, prevention of errors from the beginning, organizational learning, and the strengthening of cross-functional collaboration in order to create long-term value and sustainable competitive advantage (Long et al., 2015). Such a quality approach is also considered capable of strengthening organizational sustainability when management practices are implemented in a balanced manner between economic, social, and environmental interests (Mardikaningsih & Hariani, 2021).

The effectiveness of TQM implementation can be measured through several indicators that reflect systemic quality practices, including leadership commitment to quality, focus on the needs of customers or service users, involvement and empowerment of human resources, implementation of continuous improvement in work processes, use of data and performance evaluation as the basis for decision making, effectiveness of organizational communication, standardization of operational procedures, level of process innovation, and consistency in achieving

quality outcomes that lead to increased satisfaction and trust among stakeholders. Systematically managed service quality has been proven to be related to the level of public satisfaction as service recipients (Irfan & Putra, 2021). These indicators are often used as the basis for assessing whether quality management practices are truly capable of improving overall organizational performance (Sinambela & Darmawan, 2021).

Social capital refers to collective resources that emerge from social relationships, interaction networks, shared norms, and levels of trust that develop within a society or organization, thereby enabling effective cooperation to achieve common goals. Social capital places interpersonal relationships as strategic assets that strengthen coordination, accelerate information exchange, and reduce social costs in collaborative processes. The existence of social capital reflects the quality of social relationships that are built not only through personal closeness but also through values of mutual trust, solidarity, and commitment to mutually agreed social norms (Irfan & Al Hakim, 2021). Good social relationships within organizations are also often associated with individuals' ability to develop their competencies and perform tasks professionally within the work environment (Sinambela et al., 2020).

The measurement of social capital is generally conducted through indicators that describe the strength of social networks and the quality of collective interactions, such as the level of trust among individuals and toward institutions, the intensity of participation in community or organizational activities, the strength of both formal and informal social networks, norms of reciprocity and social solidarity, openness of communication among group members, the ability to collaborate in solving shared problems, the level of social cohesion, and the sustainability of cooperative relationships that generate social support and collective benefits in the long term. The presence of strong social networks often becomes an important factor that helps individuals or groups utilize available resources more effectively (Sinambela et al., 2021).

Social capital in organizations can be understood as networks of relationships grounded in trust, norms, and shared values that facilitate the coordination of actions (Ceci et al., 2020). Within the framework of TQM, social capital serves as the foundation for all quality improvement activities. Trust enables organizational members to feel confident that their efforts to express weaknesses in processes will not be met with punishment but will

instead be appreciated as contributions to collective learning. Norms of mutual assistance and respect encourage individuals to participate in improvement activities even when such tasks are not always explicitly stated in job descriptions. Values that uphold openness and honesty encourage honest discussions about quality so that problems are not concealed. Without strong social capital, TQM can easily become trapped in procedural formalities.

Trust is the core of social capital that is relevant to team collaboration. In teams where members trust one another, information about mistakes, failed trials, or potential risks can be discussed without excessive fear (Algan, 2018). Team members believe that their colleagues will use such information to improve processes rather than to blame individuals. This situation is very important in TQM, because many quality problems can only be uncovered when people are willing to be open. Trust also influences the speed of decision making. When members believe that their colleagues act honestly and competently, the discussion process becomes more efficient. Consistent trust from leaders toward the team strengthens members' courage to propose breakthrough improvements that may involve the risk of initial failure.

Work networks within organizations provide channels for the flow of information and resources required in TQM programs (Saxena, 2019). Formal networks are visible in organizational structures and coordination charts, while informal networks are formed through friendships, community memberships, or shared work experiences. Broad networks make it easier for quality improvement teams to access knowledge from various units, invite internal resource persons, and disseminate learning outcomes to other departments. In organizations that possess strong internal networks, improvement ideas that emerge in one team can quickly move to other units facing similar problems. Conversely, when networks are fragmented, each team tends to struggle on its own, resulting in many repetitive improvement efforts that do not enrich one another. Thus, healthy work networks strengthen social capital in supporting the vitality of TQM.

Social cohesion within teams contributes to the emotional stability needed to implement TQM consistently (Donate et al., 2020). Cohesive teams possess a strong sense of belonging, so that success and failure are viewed as collective outcomes. This condition makes it easier for members to accept criticism regarding the processes they handle, because the criticism is not directed at them as individuals but rather at work practices that can

be improved. Cohesion also reduces the tendency to blame one another when quality problems occur. Instead, the team will focus more on identifying causes and designing improvements. Such an atmosphere strongly supports the cycle of continuous improvement, where processes are designed, implemented, evaluated, and continuously refined.

In the implementation of TQM, team collaboration appears in several practices such as regular improvement meetings, the use of group-based problem-solving tools, and cross-functional projects (Badura et al., 2013). The success of such collaboration depends greatly on the quality of social capital possessed by team members. Teams whose members trust one another reach agreement more quickly in formulating problems and measurement methods. Networks across units facilitate the collection of process data and customer information needed to evaluate quality. Social cohesion encourages members to bear additional workloads when improvement projects require extra time and energy. Without a foundation of trust, networks, and cohesion, collaboration can easily become a formality of meetings that produce documents but bring little change to everyday work behavior.

A culture of continuous improvement assumes that organizational members at all levels possess curiosity about work processes and a readiness to make small changes continuously (Hammer et al., 2013). Social capital provides the psychological and social foundation for sustaining such behavior. Trust creates a sense of security to experiment, networks provide access to the knowledge and experience required, and cohesion offers emotional support when improvement efforts do not succeed immediately. In addition, the implementation of strong and consistent work values within organizations also plays a role in maintaining discipline and employee career development that supports improvements in performance quality (Sulaksono & Mardikaningsih, 2021). TQM requires a culture that views mistakes as opportunities for learning rather than merely as grounds for punishment. Such a culture is almost impossible to develop in a work environment filled with suspicion, fragmented relationships, and tension among individuals.

The role of leadership in directing social capital toward supporting TQM is very important. Leaders who consistently demonstrate honesty, openness, and appreciation for initiatives set an example for team members (Sayyadi, 2019). Through everyday behavior, leaders can cultivate trust and expand work networks across units. For example, by encouraging cross-

functional meetings that focus on learning rather than blaming one another. Leadership that is sensitive to group dynamics can facilitate the development of cohesion without suppressing diversity of opinions. In this framework, leaders are not merely decision makers but also guardians of the social climate that becomes the medium for the growth of TQM. Leadership approaches that emphasize ethical values and human development are also aligned with the concept of human resource management based on spiritual and moral values (Darmawan, 2021).

The management of conflict within quality improvement teams is also related to the quality of social capital (Hammer et al., 2013). Conflicts of ideas often arise when members have different views regarding the root of a problem or how to resolve it. In teams that possess trust and cohesion, such differences are viewed as sources of creativity. Discussions may become intense but remain focused on arguments rather than personal attacks. Broad work networks allow teams to seek additional perspectives from other units to enrich their viewpoints. Conversely, in environments with weak social capital, conflicts easily develop into personal disputes that result in team fragmentation. In such conditions, TQM becomes difficult to implement because energy is consumed in managing internal disagreements.

Internal organizational social capital also interacts with external social capital, such as relationships with suppliers, customers, and other partners. Consistent TQM often requires close cooperation with parties outside the organization, for example to align standards of raw material quality, delivery procedures, or after-sales services (Gamba, 2016). Trust that has been built within teams becomes a foundation for establishing mutual trust with external partners. Internal networks help coordinate the organization's response to feedback from external parties. Social cohesion strengthens the organization's ability to negotiate changes in quality requirements without causing prolonged internal conflict (Sudja'i & Ernawati, 2021).

At the individual level, social capital influences employees' motivation to participate in TQM activities. Individuals who feel recognized as important members of work networks and experience team support will be more motivated to contribute improvement ideas (Beer, 2003). Trust from colleagues and supervisors fosters a sense of pride when their suggestions are utilized. Strong cohesion makes them feel responsible for the reputation and quality of the team's work outcomes. Conversely, when individuals feel

isolated and perceive work relationships merely as transactional, their motivation to engage in general improvement efforts tends to be low. Thus, strengthening social capital can become an indirect way to increase employee participation in TQM.

From the perspective of organizational design, structures that support TQM usually emphasize cross-functional collaboration and decision making close to the source of problems. Such structures require communication networks that are flexible and trustworthy. Social capital helps overcome structural barriers that may arise, such as differences in interests among departments (Steinmo & Rasmussen, 2018). Trust among unit heads makes it easier for them to share resources for the sake of common quality goals. Cohesion at the managerial level facilitates the alignment of improvement priorities. Thus, although TQM is often described through structural diagrams and process flows, the success of its implementation ultimately depends on the quality of the social networks that support the structure.

An organizational culture that places cooperation as a central value creates a normative foundation for the implementation of Total Quality Management (Ababneh, 2021). When rewards are directed toward collective achievements, internal incentives shift from interpersonal competition toward the attainment of shared goals. This shift changes the logic of employee actions so that they become more inclined to share information, assist colleagues, and align work practices to achieve consistent quality outcomes. Leadership plays a key role in this process because leaders are responsible for upholding behavioral standards, demonstrating collaborative examples, and calibrating reward systems so that they align with quality values. Without systemic attention to culture and reward mechanisms, TQM programs risk becoming merely a set of technical procedures without adequate relational support.

The design of human resource policies that support collective quality objectives strengthens organizational social capital. Performance evaluation and incentive systems that assess contributions to joint improvement processes send strong signals about what is considered valuable (Klindžić & Galetić, 2020). Recruitment and promotion that consider the ability to work in teams as well as competence in continuous improvement will shape staff who possess a shared quality orientation. On the other hand, policies that emphasize only individual performance

targets have the potential to create suboptimal practices such as withholding information or manipulating figures. Therefore, integrating HR policies with TQM principles becomes a normative step to reduce friction and increase attention to long-term quality outcomes.

Investment in internal learning infrastructure becomes an important catalyst for strengthening social networks and collective capacity to improve quality (Strasser et al., 2019; Leng et al., 2021). Knowledge-sharing forums, cross-functional workshops, and post-project reflection sessions provide space for contextual learning that is difficult to achieve through formal training alone. In these interactions, organizational members learn to interpret problems together, formulate solutions, and adapt best practices to local conditions. Forms of learning that are open and non-punitive encourage experimentation and accelerate the diffusion of improvement innovations. When failures are discussed as sources of learning rather than as individual disgrace, tolerance for measured risk increases and the process of quality improvement becomes more dynamic.

Interpersonal trust that grows from collaborative experiences strengthens the organization's capacity to implement complex quality improvement initiatives (Valaitis et al., 2018). This trust emerges when everyday actions are consistent with institutional promises and when accountability mechanisms operate fairly. Transparency regarding the goals, processes, and outcomes of improvements allows members to see the connection between their contributions and collective benefits so that intrinsic motivation develops. In addition, clear distribution of responsibilities and appreciation of facilitative roles increase the shared sense of ownership over quality processes. Strong informal networks facilitate cross-unit coordination so that bureaucratic barriers can be minimized in improvement practices.

To ensure the sustainability of TQM, organizations must embed social capital-building practices into the quality management cycle itself. Regular evaluation of cultural and collaboration aspects should be included as part of quality indicators alongside technical operational metrics (Albats et al., 2018). Interventions aimed at strengthening cooperation, such as cross-level mentoring, job rotation, and cross-functional projects, need to be designed with explicit learning objectives. Leadership must be prepared to adjust policies when social indicators show erosion of trust or an increase in

organizational silos. By integrating attention to social relationships and technical processes, organizations build a resilient foundation for continuous quality improvement and become better able to respond to changing environmental challenges.

The digitalization of work processes introduces a new dimension to the relationship between social capital and TQM. Online collaboration platforms, electronic quality reporting systems, and databases of improvement experiences create opportunities for forming work networks that extend beyond geographical boundaries (Nazoktabar et al., 2014). However, the successful utilization of these technologies still depends on trust and cohesion among users. Without mutual trust, individuals are reluctant to upload honest information about quality problems. Without cohesion, digital platforms become merely repositories of documents rather than vibrant spaces for discussion. Thus, technology can only strengthen TQM when social capital already exists as its foundation.

In culturally diverse organizations, building social capital for TQM requires sensitivity to differences in backgrounds and work styles. Trust does not always develop in the same way across different cultural groups. Therefore, efforts to strengthen social capital must create space for dialogue about shared values and expectations. Work networks that respect diversity can become rich sources of improvement ideas, as long as cohesion is built around mutually agreed quality goals (Martinez & Aldrich, 2011). Within this framework, TQM functions as a meeting point for various professional and cultural identities within the organization.

The measurement of TQM success often focuses on indicators such as defect reduction, increased customer satisfaction, or process efficiency (Hietschold et al., 2014). However, from a social capital perspective, long-term success should also be assessed through changes in the quality of relationships within the organization. Increased trust, the expansion of work networks across units, and strengthened team cohesion are indicators that provide clues about the resilience of a continuous improvement culture. If these indicators show improvement, organizations can expect that TQM is not merely a temporary trend but has become embedded in everyday work practices.

The relationship between social capital, team collaboration, and TQM can be summarized as mutually reinforcing. TQM provides the framework of

goals and procedures, team collaboration offers the mechanism of implementation, while social capital supplies the social energy that enables everything to function. Without social capital, TQM risks becoming a rigid set of rules. Without TQM, social capital may develop but remain undirected toward measurable quality improvement. This literature study that elaborates these interconnections is expected to help researchers and practitioners recognize that the success of TQM is not only related to tools and documents, but also to the quality of relational networks that exist within organizations.

## Conclusion

The success of implementing Total Quality Management (TQM) relies on the strength of social capital and the quality of team collaboration within an organization. Trust, networks, and social cohesion have proven to be key elements that support the creation of a culture of continuous improvement. Trust provides a sense of security to openly discuss process weaknesses and conduct experimentation, networks enable the dissemination of knowledge and best practices across units, while cohesion provides the emotional support and sense of togetherness needed to face quality-related pressures. Team collaboration becomes the arena where these three elements are manifested concretely through discussions, decision making, and the implementation of improvement projects. Thus, a living TQM is not merely a collection of procedures, but a collective way of working rooted in the quality of relationships among people within the organization.

The implications of this study indicate the need for organizational management policies that consciously develop social capital as an integral part of quality management programs. Organizational leaders are encouraged to design reward systems, communication patterns, and learning mechanisms that foster trust, expand collaborative networks, and strengthen team cohesion. Further research can be directed toward empirically testing the conceptual framework that has been developed, for example by examining the relationship between social capital indicators and the sustainability of TQM practices across various types of organizations. In the field of management education, TQM materials should not stop at technical tools but should also include an understanding of the social dynamics that accompany them. In this way, the discourse on quality will move toward a more humane and sustainable understanding.

## References

- Ababneh, O. M. A. 2021. The impact of organizational culture archetypes on quality performance and total quality management: the role of employee engagement and individual values. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 38(6), 1387-1408.
- Albats, E., Fiegenbaum, I., & Cunningham, J. A. 2018. A micro level study of university industry collaborative lifecycle key performance indicators. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43(2), 389-431.
- Algan, Y. 2018. Trust and social capital. *For good measure: Advancing research on well-being metrics beyond GDP*, 283-320.
- Arifin, S., R. Mardikaningsih & Y. R. Al Hakim. 2017. Pengaruh Kedisiplinan, Kompetensi, dan Motivasi Kerja terhadap Kinerja Karyawan. *Management & Accounting Research Journal*, 2(1), 43-50.
- Arifin, S., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Implementation of Social Responsibility in Sustainable Marketing. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 279-284.
- Arshed, N., & Danson, M. (2015). The literature review. *Research methods for business and management: a guide to writing your dissertation*, 31-49.
- Aune, A. 1998. Quality and quality management at a crossroads. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 9(4), 6-12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0954412988460>
- Badura, B., Greiner, W., Rixgens, P., Ueberle, M., & Behr, M. 2013. Sozialkapital und Qualität von Produkten und Dienstleistungen. 211-230. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-36913-1\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-36913-1_10)
- Beer, M. 2003. Why Total Quality Management Programs Do Not Persist: The Role of Management Quality and Implications for Leading a TQM Transformation. *Decision Sciences*, 34(4), 623-642. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1540-5414.2003.02640.X>
- Ceci, F., Masciarelli, F., & Poledrini, S. 2020. How social capital affects innovation in a cultural network: Exploring the role of bonding and bridging social capital. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 23(5), 895-918.
- Darmawan, D. 2013. *Prinsip Prinsip Perilaku Organisasi*. Pena Semesta - PT. JePe Press Media
- Darmawan, D. 2021. Islamic Human Capital Implementation as Effective Framework in Islamic Human Capital Management. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 239-244.
- Darmawan, D., & Mardikaningsih, R. 2021. Studi Tentang Peran Kualitas Kehidupan Kerja, Kepemimpinan dan Persepsi Dukungan Organisasi Terhadap Komitmen Organisasi. *Jurnal Simki Economic*, 4(1), 89-98.
- Dawson, D. C. 2002. *A Practical Research Methods*. How to Books Limited.
- Dilawo, R. S., & Salimi, Z. 2019. Understanding TQM implementation barriers involving construction companies in a difficult environment. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 36(7), 1137-1158.
- Donate, M. J., Ruiz-Monterrubio, E., Sanchez de Pablo, J. D., & Peña, I. 2020. Total quality management and high-performance work systems for social capital development: Effects on company innovation capabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 21(1), 87-114.

**Organisational Social Capital and Team Collaboration as Supports for Total Quality Management**  
(Putra, A. R., R. Mardikaningsih, & D. Darmawan)

- Essa, N. E., & Mardikaningsih, R. 2021. Sustainability Communication through Green Marketing: Strengthening Consumer Awareness and Corporate Environmental Integrity. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 233-238.
- Fared, M. A., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Design and Implementation of Cross-Functional Training to Improve Team Collaboration. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 215-220.
- Gamba, F. J. 2016. Social capital's integrative and facilitative role in organizations: a literature review. *The African Journal of Finance and Management*, 25(2), 45-60. <https://doi.org/10.4314/AJFM.V25I2>
- Hammer, A., Arah, O. A., DerSarkissian, M., Thompson, C. A., Mannion, R., Wagner, C., Ommen, O., Suñol, R., & Pfaff, H. 2013. The relationship between social capital and quality management systems in European hospitals: a quantitative study. *PLOS ONE*, 8(12), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0085662>
- Hariani, M., & Mardikaningsih, R. 2021. Implementation of Value-Based Management in Multinational Organizations Facing Cultural Differences. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 67-72.
- Hietschold, N., Reinhardt, R., & Gurtner, S. 2014. Measuring critical success factors of TQM implementation successfully—a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 52(21), 6254-6272.
- Irfan, M., & Al Hakim, Y. R. 2021. Organizational Values Internalization as a Determinant of Employee Job Satisfaction and Engagement. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 119-124.
- Irfan, M., & Putra, A. R. 2021. Relationship Between Service Quality of Building License and Community Satisfaction. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, 2(1), 11-18.
- Klindžić, M., & Galetić, L. (2020). Combining individual and collective employee incentives to enhance organizational performance. *Društvena istraživanja*, 29(1), 71-90.
- Leng, Z., Sun, H., Cheng, J., Wang, H., & Yao, Z. 2021. China's rare earth industry technological innovation structure and driving factors: a social network analysis based on patents. *Resources Policy*, 73, 102233.
- Long, C. S., Kowang, T. O., & Ismail, W. K. W. 2015. Total quality management practices and innovation performance: A review. *Advanced Science Letters*, 21(5), 1086-1088. <https://doi.org/10.1166/ASL.2015.6007>
- Mardikaningsih, R. & D. Darmawan. 2020. *Sistem Pengendalian Mutu*. Metromedia, Surabaya.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Business Sustainability Strategies in the Facing of Regulatory Uncertainty and Managerial Challenges. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 111-118.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Hariani, M. 2021. Realizing Sustainability in Public Policy: Building a Balance between Economy, Social, and Environment. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 191-196.
- Martinez, M. A., & Aldrich, H. E. 2011. Networking strategies for entrepreneurs: balancing cohesion and diversity. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 17(1), 7-38.
- Mukherjee, S. P. 2019. Managing Quality Today. 41-62. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1271-7\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1271-7_3)

- Nazoktabar, H., Farajtabar, R., Astanei, E. A., & Kamizi, E. 2014. Relationship between social capital and quality of work-life. *Arth Prabandh: A Journal of Economics and Management*, 3(2), 206–211.
- Öztürk, Y., Soyhan, R. N., & Sekreter, A. 2016. A Different View of Quality and Total Quality Management. *The Journal of Business*, 1(2), 9–15. <https://doi.org/10.31152/JB.2016.11.1.2.9>
- Peterson, R. 1998. Trust for quality. *The TQM Magazine*, 10(6), 413–416. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09544789810239128>
- Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. 2014. *Design and Development Research: Methods, Strategies, and Issues*. Routledge.
- Saxena, S. 2019. Proposing a total quality management (TQM) model for open government data (OGD) initiatives: Implications for India. *foresight*, 21(3), 321-331.
- Sayyadi, M. 2019. What Can Executives Take from Social Capital Theory. 3, 237–244. <https://doi.org/10.28945/4462>
- Sinambela, E. A., Mardikaningsih, R., Arifin, S., & Ayu, H. D. 2020. Development of Self Competence and Supervision to Achieve Professionalism. *Journal of Islamic Economics Perspectives*, 1(2), 33-42.
- Sinambela, E. A. & D. Darmawan. 2021. Pengaruh Total Quality Management dan Manajemen Pengetahuan terhadap Kinerja Organisasi. *Cemerlang: Jurnal Manajemen dan Ekonomi Bisnis*, 1 (4), 01–12.
- Sinambela, E. A., D. Nurmalasari, D. Darmawan, & R. Mardikaningsih. 2021. The Role of Business Capital, Level of Education, and Technology in Increasing Business Income, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 77-92.
- Steinmo, M., & Rasmussen, E. 2018. The interplay of cognitive and relational social capital dimensions in university-industry collaboration: Overcoming the experience barrier. *Research Policy*, 47(10), 1964-1974.
- Strasser, T., de Kraker, J., & Kemp, R. 2019. Developing the transformative capacity of social innovation through learning: A conceptual framework and research agenda for the roles of network leadership. *Sustainability*, 11(5), 1304.
- Sudja'i & Ernawati. 2021. The Influence of Business Capital and Owner's Personality on Small & Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) Development. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 40-43.
- Sulaksono, S. & R. Mardikaningsih. 2021. Studi Tentang Kedisiplinan dan Pengembangan Karir yang Membentuk Kinerja Karyawan. *Jurnal Manajemen, Bisnis, dan Kewirausahaan*, 1(2), 149-158.
- Unzueta, G., Esnaola, A., & Eguren, J. A. 2020. Continuous improvement framework to develop cultural change: case study, capital goods company. *The TQM Journal*, 32(6), 1327-1348.
- Valaitis, R. K., O'Mara, L., Wong, S. T., MacDonald, M., Murray, N., Martin-Misener, R., & Meagher-Stewart, D. 2018. Strengthening primary health care through primary care and public health collaboration: the influence of intrapersonal and interpersonal factors. *Primary health care research & development*, 19(4), 378-391.