



SOCIAL MEDIA AND CONTEMPORARY YOUTH DIGITAL LITERATURE

Yulius Kurniawan, Didit Darmawan, Rafadi Khan Khayru

Universitas Widya Kartika Surabaya, Universitas Sunan Giri Surabaya, Universitas Airlangga Surabaya

correspondence: dr.diditdarmawan@gmail.com

Abstract

This article develops a conceptual discussion of how social media platforms are reshaping the ways in which young people write, read, and ascribe meaning to contemporary literature for youth. The paper examines changes in writing practices, including brevity, interactivity, and multimodal expression, as texts circulate within fast moving digital feeds. It explores how reading becomes fragmented across short time slots, embedded in everyday communication, and intertwined with practices of sharing, commenting, and self presentation. The discussion highlights shifts in notions of authorship, the role of algorithmic visibility, and the emergence of youth digital literary forms such as serial narratives and micro poetry. Attention is given to issues of aesthetic quality, standardisation of taste under metrics, and the formation of online literary communities across geographical locations. By bringing these elements together, the article offers a conceptual frame for understanding contemporary youth digital literary practices and provides a basis for future empirical research and educational initiatives related to young people's engagement with texts in social media environments.

Keywords: social media, youth digital literature, reading practices, writing practices, online communities, authorship, algorithmic visibility.

Introduction

The development of social media over the past two decades has transformed the landscape of public communication and cultural practices across many layers of society. Increasingly widespread internet access, personal devices integrated into everyday life, and various platforms based on text, images, and videos have created a new environment for the production and circulation of creative expression. Young people grow up in digital spaces that are open to cross-regional conversations, where the boundaries between readers and writers become more fluid. They interact with short narratives, poetic quotations, and serialized stories amid the exchange of everyday messages. Within this fast-paced flow of communication, literary texts no longer appear solely on the pages of printed books, but spread through mobile phone screens, connected with hashtags, comments, and like buttons (Feather, 2013). This transformation influences how the younger generation experiences language, organizes emotions, and connects with others through narrative and poetic works. Fundamentally, this phenomenon of change cannot be separated from shifts in human behavior in using technology and communication media that continue to evolve (Darmawan, 2013).

Literature, once associated with books, magazines, and physical reading spaces, has now entered a digital ecosystem regulated by algorithms, interface design, and the logic of brief interaction (Emerson, 2014). Young people discover poetry, short stories, and hybrid narrative forms through posts appearing on their account feeds. Short displays containing fragments of poetry, serialized stories, or narrative monologues circulate alongside other entertainment content. The reading experience becomes fragmented, interrupted by notifications and links to other posts. Within such consumption patterns, the boundary between literary texts, emotional expressions, and popular discourse becomes increasingly difficult to distinguish. Young people are not merely consumers but are actively involved as content creators, even though they do not always use the term literature to refer to the works they upload. This condition indicates that user behavior in digital environments is often influenced by the way platforms are designed and how individuals respond to various informational stimuli that appear rapidly in online spaces (Darmawan & Gatheru, 2021).

Digital platforms expand the democratization of literary production by enabling young people to write, publish, and distribute their works without passing through the curatorial mechanisms of traditional publishing institutions, a phenomenon understood as the transformation of participatory culture within the new media ecosystem (Jenkins, 2006). The presence of comment spaces, direct messaging features, and real-time interaction systems creates a dialogic creative process, in which writers develop language style, characters, and narrative structures through immediate responses from readers as an active interpretive community (Lévy, 1997). This dynamic produces literary forms aligned with the fast, concise, and screen-based communication logic of social media, while also illustrating the changing attention patterns of the digital generation accustomed to reading in multitasking conditions. Research on digital culture shows that fragmented media environments influence how individuals focus attention, remember information, and evaluate texts cognitively and aesthetically (Carr, 2010).

Changes in the ways of reading and writing in digital spaces also shift how young people interpret literature (Murray, 2018). Printed books are often positioned as cultural objects requiring specific time and attention, while texts on social media appear as an integral part of the flow of daily life. Narratives about friendship, romance, sadness, and existential anxiety are expressed in concise forms, accompanied by images, music, or short videos. Literary works are no longer sharply separated from other forms of expression but merge with practices of sharing experiences and constructing self-image. For many young people, poetry and short prose circulating on social media become entry points into the world of literature, as well as mediums for shaping identity and emotional solidarity with other users. The way individuals present themselves and share experiences in online spaces is also often related to strategies for building visibility and connectedness with other users (Eddine & Darmawan, 2021).

Within this context emerges a form often referred to as youth digital literature, namely works that are originally created to circulate on online platforms, utilizing technical features such as sequential posts, short stories, or collaboration among users (Domingo, 2014). Serialized stories in applications, visual poetry on image-sharing services, and microfiction

limited by character counts have developed as distinctive creative practices. Literature is no longer bound to conventions of specific text length, page size, or chapter format, but is negotiated with platform logic that encourages brevity, immediate readability, and shareability. This transformation raises new questions about the boundaries of literary forms, the authority of authors, and how we evaluate the quality of works amid the logic of likes, shares, and comments. On the other hand, digital spaces also demonstrate how social interaction and information exchange can influence how individuals make decisions and form preferences in various online activities (Fared & Darmawan, 2021).

The problems emerging from these developments relate to the tension between expanded access and the possible shift in the quality of literary experience (Greenhalgh et al., 2016). On the one hand, social media provides wider space for young people to encounter creative texts, experiment with language, and find readers. On the other hand, the way platforms operate—prioritizing speed and brief engagement—can encourage tendencies toward shallow textual forms that are quickly forgotten. Young people may become accustomed to instant narrative fragments, thereby reducing their willingness to engage with longer and more complex works. The question, then, is to what extent these changes in reading and writing patterns influence the formation of literary appreciation among the younger generation, both in terms of the depth of aesthetic experience and reflective ability.

Another issue concerns the position of youth literature within the digital cultural ecosystem shaped by algorithms and the attention economy (Citton, 2017). Works that circulate widely are often promoted by recommendation systems that measure engagement through the number of likes, comments, and shares. In such an environment, young writers are encouraged to adjust their writing styles to general tastes that demand rapid responses. Themes, styles, and forms considered more marketable tend to be repeated, while less popular aesthetic explorations risk being overlooked. This tendency may lead to the standardization of literary expression, where novelty and aesthetic boldness are pressured by calculations of audience response. In this context, youth literature exists in a tension between individual creativity and the logic of virality that governs the movement of texts on digital platforms. This situation

indicates that decisions emerging in digital environments are often influenced not only by individuals, but also by social norms, reference groups, and the dynamics of interaction that develop within digital society (Halizah & Nuraini, 2021).

A literature study on social media and contemporary youth literature needs to be conducted in order to conceptually understand the changes currently taking place. Without well-structured theoretical understanding, public discussions often become trapped in extreme judgments, either praising digital literature as evidence of the creativity of a new generation or dismissing it as a symptom of declining quality. Systematic studies can connect technological change, reading and writing habits, and the formation of literary meaning among young people. Therefore, this study is expected to provide a more balanced and nuanced argumentative basis for researchers, educators, and creative industry practitioners involved in the production and distribution of literary works in digital spaces. Such a balanced approach is also important so that various social phenomena in digital environments can be understood more comprehensively, not only from the technological perspective, but also from the perspective of human behavior and the social values that develop within it (Fajar et al., 2021).

The objective of this study is to construct a systematic conceptual description of the relationship between social media and contemporary youth literature. This paper seeks to explain how digital platforms influence the writing and reading practices of the younger generation, as well as to examine changes in how they interpret literature amid the emergence of various forms of digital literature. From a theoretical perspective, this study is expected to enrich discussions on literature and digital culture by placing youth experiences at the center of analysis. From a practical perspective, the findings of this study are expected to provide references for language and literature educators, literacy community activists, and creative industry practitioners who wish to design initiatives that are more aligned with how young people interact with texts in digital spaces.

Method

This article is structured as a qualitative literature study focusing on the development of a conceptual framework. Baronov (2015) emphasizes the

need for explicitly formulated conceptual foundations in social research so that the relationship between theory, research questions, and the way scientific materials are processed can be accounted for. Based on this perspective, the main question is formulated regarding the relationship between social media and youth literature, followed by the formulation of keywords related to digital platforms, adolescent reading and writing practices, and digital literature. Reference sources were traced through books, journal articles, and other relevant academic publications, then selected based on the closeness of their arguments to the conceptual focus of this article. Beins (2017) views research methods as a set of tools for structuring reasoning systematically, so studies based on readings still require planned and consistent stages of work.

Scheurich (2014) reminds us that research in the postmodern era requires sensitivity to the diversity of voices and perspectives present in academic discourse. This consideration encourages the reading of reference sources as a field of debate containing various positions regarding digital media and literature, rather than as a single authority. After the materials were collected, the texts were grouped into several thematic clusters, including writings on media theory and digital platforms, studies on youth reading and writing practices, as well as discussions on the forms and aesthetics of digital literature. Baronov (2015) emphasizes the importance of clarity in the relationship between abstract ideas and research objectives, while Beins (2017) highlights the need for presenting analytical logic that can be followed by readers. By combining these two perspectives, this literature study is designed as a gradual process of organizing ideas, from the presentation of general themes to the formulation of structured conceptual arguments regarding the transformation of youth literature in the era of social media.

Result and Discussion

Social media transforms the literary experience of young people by moving many reading and writing activities into online spaces that move rapidly and are highly interactive (Cladis, 2020). On these platforms, literary texts appear alongside various other types of content that are informative, entertaining, or promotional. Young people read poetry, short stories, or hybrid narratives amid conversations, feed scrolling, and visual

interactions. This situation makes literary activity closely connected to the rhythm of brief and repetitive daily communication. Reading time is often divided into small segments adjusted to short opportunities, such as while waiting or taking a brief rest. This pattern encourages concise, dense texts that quickly evoke emotion, as writers realize that readers' attention can easily shift to other posts. Changes in interaction patterns in digital spaces such as these also indicate that technology has influenced communication patterns and human behavior in various fields of life, including the way people share information and experiences through online media (Darmawan et al., 2015; Wahyudi et al., 2021).

The way young people write on social media demonstrates a combination of spontaneous self-expression and awareness of the audience (Bailey et al., 2020). They compose texts by considering how posts will appear on mobile screens, how long a text remains comfortable to read, and what type of language feels close to their peers. Short poems, lyrical prose, and very brief stories are often chosen because they can easily be combined with images, illustrations, or audio recordings. Young writers frequently develop poetic styles that prioritize short sentences, loose rhyme, and easily understood metaphors so that emotional messages are quickly conveyed. In the comment sections, they receive immediate feedback that influences their subsequent writing decisions, both in the selection of themes and language styles. This phenomenon also shows that digital media enables individuals to express themselves while simultaneously adapting their communication styles according to the responses and behaviors of audiences they encounter in online spaces (Negara et al., 2021; Gardi et al., 2021).

Social media also transforms reading practices from an individual and contemplative activity into a social experience that is collective and performative (Khazraee & Novak, 2018). Reading now takes place within networks of interaction, when readers share quotations, leave comments, or tag other individuals as part of emotional communication practices in digital spaces (boyd, 2014). From the perspective of cultural sociology, such practices reflect a shift in the function of literary texts from objects of personal reflection into mediums for forming social identity and group affiliation (Castells, 2010). Poetry, narrative fragments, and short sentences function as symbols of self-expression that mark emotional

experiences such as romantic relationships, failures, or personal achievements, allowing literature to serve as a social language for articulating the experiences of the younger generation in networked society. This condition also shows that communication in digital spaces is not only intended to convey information, but also to build social relationships and a sense of togetherness among users (Gardi et al., 2021).

The emergence of youth digital literature can be seen as a creative response to the technical limitations and expressive opportunities provided by platforms (Kafai & Peppler, 2011). Serialized stories are uploaded periodically, utilizing cliffhanger endings to invite readers to return. Poetry is modified to align with image formats, colors, and visually appealing layouts. There are also experiments with story forms that link multiple posts, allowing readers to follow the narrative through clicks from one post to another. Literary texts merge with elements of graphic design, background music, or recorded voice readings, making the literary experience multimodal. This enriches the ways young people interact with texts, while simultaneously challenging the traditional boundaries between literature and other media arts. These developments are inseparable from advances in digital technology that provide new opportunities for society to create and utilize media as a means of expression and dissemination of ideas (Putra & Arifin, 2021; Arifin et al., 2021).

In writing practices on digital platforms, the status of authorial authority undergoes a shift. In many social media spaces, writers and readers are directly present and can interact without institutional distance (Johnson, 2020). Young people who were previously reluctant to identify themselves as writers begin to publish their works and receive feedback. Authorial identity no longer depends solely on recognition from publishing institutions but can be formed through communities of readers who appreciate their posts. However, this ease of access also raises questions about curation standards and editorial processes. Works that circulate widely do not necessarily go through careful development in terms of structure, language, and originality of ideas, because the mechanism of selection shifts from editors to algorithms and collective user preferences. In many cases, digital systems also operate through mechanisms of visibility and content optimization, enabling certain

works to be more easily discovered and accessed by other users on the internet (Arifin et al., 2021).

Patterns of literary appreciation on social media are marked by the logic of numbers and symbols. The number of likes, comments, and shares often becomes an indicator of a text's success. For young writers, high positive responses provide emotional encouragement and a sense of confidence. However, dependence on numbers can influence creative decisions. Writers tend to repeat themes and styles that have previously proven to attract attention, while more experimental or reflective ideas may be avoided because they risk receiving low responses. In such a climate, digital literature is pushed toward forms that are easy to consume, have immediate emotional appeal, and are close to everyday experiences. Space for aesthetic exploration that challenges readers may narrow if it is not supported by communities of readers who appreciate diversity of form. This phenomenon resembles many other digital activities in which user preferences are often influenced by public responses and visible popularity on online platforms (Mardikaningsih et al., 2018; Negara et al., 2021).

Social media opens new possibilities for the formation of youth literary communities that transcend regions (Elias et al., 2018). Users from different cities and even countries can follow each other, exchange poems, and organize joint activities online. These communities become spaces for informal collective learning, where members provide feedback and reading recommendations to one another. Membership does not depend on access to official institutions but on active participation. However, the dynamics of online communities are also vulnerable to rapidly changing cycles of attention, interpersonal tensions, and varying levels of commitment. In such situations, the continuity of nurturing literary works and strengthening serious reading traditions requires shared awareness that goes beyond the excitement of posts receiving many comments. The formation of such digital communities demonstrates that technology can serve as a means to expand social networks and cooperation among individuals who share similar interests.

Changes in how young people interpret literature on social media are related to their experiences of time and presence (Thomas et al., 2017). Many digital works are written and read as responses to current events, newly experienced emotions, or emerging conversational trends. Digital

literature becomes a medium for responding to life immediately, so its primary value is related to emotional relevance at a particular moment. However, in other literary traditions, literature is often valued for its ability to endure, to be reread, and to stimulate reflection beyond the original context of writing. The tension between the temporary nature of online posts and the desire to produce works with lasting value becomes one of the interesting issues in the study of youth literature in the digital era.

The language used in youth digital literature reflects a mixture of formal and informal varieties, as well as borrowings from foreign languages and internet terminology (Androutsopoulos, 2011). Young writers often combine poetic diction with everyday expressions, slang, and even visual symbols. This mixture creates a distinctive style that is close to spoken language and the rhythm of conversation. On the one hand, this makes literature feel familiar and representative of their lives. On the other hand, debates arise regarding the extent to which very loose language use influences sensitivity to more structured language forms. Questions about literary quality cannot be separated from discussions about language choices, but such evaluations need to be conducted with an understanding of the communication logic of the younger generation in digital spaces. The diversity of language practices demonstrates that communication culture continues to evolve following developments in media and social interaction within digital environments (Wahyudi et al., 2021).

Social media also transforms the relationship between literary texts and identity discourse (Baldauf et al., 2017). Young people use poetry and short prose to assert their positions on issues such as gender, the body, mental health, and social relationships. Literary works become spaces to express experiences that were previously difficult to articulate within family settings or educational institutions. The courage to be open is influenced by support from online communities that provide recognition and empathy. On the other hand, the exposure of personal experiences through widely circulated texts raises new questions about the boundaries of privacy, rights over one's own narrative, and potential vulnerability when works are read beyond the author's original intention. Youth digital literature, therefore, is not merely an aesthetic matter but also a practice involving the politics of the body and identity. In modern society, various

forms of individual expression in digital public spaces are increasingly connected with broader issues of identity and social relations (Fariz, 2021).

Among educators, the presence of youth digital literature challenges the paradigm of literary teaching that has long been centered on canonical works and printed books (Borsheim-Black et al., 2014). Teachers and lecturers are confronted with questions about whether works on social media are worthy of being brought into the classroom as discussion material, and how they can be connected to broader objectives of literary education. There are concerns that adopting digital literary forms without careful selection may lower educational standards, yet ignoring the reading and writing practices that actively exist among students is equally problematic. A framework of understanding is needed to bridge classroom spaces and digital environments, so that literature is not viewed as something foreign in students' lives, but also does not dissolve entirely into the logic of rapid consumption. Access to technology and the ability to utilize digital media are also important factors influencing learning processes and opportunities for self-development among the younger generation in today's technological era (Arifin & Darmawan, 2021).

The development of youth digital literature is also related to shifts in the roles of publishing institutions and literary critics. Online platforms allow writers to publish their works independently and build a readership without intermediaries. Some digital writers are later approached by publishers to transform their works into printed books, while others prefer to remain independent in the online world. Literary criticism, which was previously centered in print media and academic forums, now competes with short reviews, reader recommendations, and informal discussions in digital spaces (Winiecka, 2020). This raises questions about the authority of evaluation and the mechanisms through which new literary canons are formed, especially among younger generations who are more familiar with collective evaluations based on algorithms. This shift demonstrates that technological developments also bring changes to various systems and strategies used in managing information, works, and creative activities within society (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2021).

From the perspective of literary aesthetics, the emergence of youth works on social media challenges conceptual boundaries regarding the form of literature itself. The integration of text with visual illustrations,

music, animation, and digital layouts indicates a shift toward multimodal practices that reflect the characteristics of contemporary media (Manovich, 2001). Questions about whether digital poetry, comment-based serialized stories, or interactive narratives still belong to classical literary categories highlight the need for conceptual redefinitions within modern literary studies (Hayles, 2008). Although the medium changes, aesthetic analysis still emphasizes fundamental principles such as narrative coherence, thematic depth, and the power of language as indicators that distinguish literary works from ordinary communication expressions, requiring literary criticism to develop more flexible analytical frameworks while maintaining standards of aesthetic evaluation (Eagleton, 2008). A more open analytical approach is necessary so that the development of new cultural forms in the digital era can be understood more comprehensively rather than viewed solely from traditional perspectives (Scheurich, 2014).

Socially, the presence of youth digital literature on social media can be seen as part of a new literacy ecosystem that combines technical and interpretative abilities (Meyers et al., 2013). Young people do not merely read, but also manage accounts, schedule posts, and shape self-images as writers. This process requires sensitivity to public responses, adaptability, and resilience in facing criticism. On one hand, this trains a number of skills relevant to life in the digital era. On the other hand, the pressure to always remain present and productive can lead to creative fatigue. The balance between the drive for expression and the need for creative rest becomes a real issue in the lives of young writers in online spaces. Continuous digital activities indeed demand self-management skills and the ability to adapt to the constantly evolving dynamics of technological environments (Darmawan et al., 2015).

Overall, social media presents both opportunities and risks for the development of youth literature. Opportunities arise in the form of expanded access, freedom of expression, and possibilities for cross-border collaboration (Atkinson & Cory, 2021). Risks are related to the tendency toward standardization of tastes, the dominance of numerical logic, and the threat of shallow reading experiences. Conceptual studies are necessary to balance these two sides without falling into romantic nostalgia for the past or uncritical glorification of digital innovation. Understanding youth literature on social media means recognizing that this generation lives in a

space different from previous generations, yet still possesses the same fundamental need to organize experiences through language and storytelling. By understanding these dynamics, studies on digital literature can provide a broader picture of how modern societies utilize technology to express experiences, build social relationships, and develop new cultural forms in the digital era (Mardikaningsih & Hariani, 2021). Therefore, studying youth literature on social media becomes important for understanding the transformation of literacy practices as well as the direction of literary culture in the midst of ongoing technological change.

Conclusion

Social media has significantly transformed the ways young people write, read, and interpret literature. Digital platforms provide broad spaces for expression, encouraging the emergence of digital literary forms that are concise, interactive, and multimodal. Young people act as both writers and readers who are interconnected, building communities and identities through poetry, short prose, and serialized narratives circulating on their feeds. However, these changes occur within an ecosystem regulated by algorithms and the attention economy, meaning that youth literary practices cannot be separated from the pressures of numbers and virality. The tension between expanded access and concerns about the shallowness of reading experiences marks a new phase in the relationship between younger generations and literature, requiring careful and nuanced conceptual interpretation.

The implications of this study point to the need to reformulate how we understand youth literature in the digital era. Researchers and educators need to integrate an understanding of digital literature into academic discourse and educational practices while maintaining attention to the aesthetic and reflective aspects that form the core of literature. Community activists and creative industry practitioners can utilize these conceptual findings to design mentoring spaces that encourage experimentation without being fully trapped in the logic of metrics and popularity. Suggestions for future research include developing empirical studies that examine the concrete practices of young writers and readers across various platforms, as well as comparative studies between digital works and printed works produced by the same generation. In this way,

discussions on social media and youth literature can develop on a solid and dialogical theoretical foundation.

References

- Androutsopoulos, J. 2011. Language change and digital media: A review of conceptions and evidence. *Standard languages and language standards in a changing Europe*, 1, 145-159.
- Arifin, S, Y. R. Al Hakim, D. Darmawan, M. Irfan, & D. S. Sigita. 2021. Technical and Ethical Dimensions of Search Engine Optimization in Managing Online Business Visibility, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 193-208.
- Arifin, S., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Technology Access and Digital Skills: Bridging the Gaps in Education and Employment Opportunities in the Age of Technology 4.0. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 163-168.
- Atkinson, R. D., & Cory, N. 2021. Cross-border data policy: Opportunities and challenges. *Consensus or Conflict? China and Globalization in the 21st Century*, 217-232.
- Bailey, E. R., Matz, S. C., Youyou, W., & Iyengar, S. S. 2020. Authentic self-expression on social media is associated with greater subjective well-being. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 4889.
- Baldauf, H., Develotte, C., & Ollagnier-Beldame, M. 2017. The effects of social media on the dynamics of identity: Discourse, interaction and digital traces. *Alsic. Apprentissage des Langues et Systèmes d'Information et de Communication*, 20(1).
- Baronov, D. 2015. *Conceptual Foundations of Social Research Methods*. Routledge.
- Beins, B. C. 2017. *Research Method: A Tool for Life*. Cambridge University Press.
- Borsheim-Black, C., Macaluso, M., & Petrone, R. 2014. Critical literature pedagogy: Teaching canonical literature for critical literacy. *Journal of adolescent & adult literacy*, 58(2), 123-133.
- boyd, d. 2014. *It's complicated: The social lives of networked teens*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Carr, N. 2010. *The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton.
- Castells, M. 2010. *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed.). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Citton, Y. 2017. *The ecology of attention*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Cladis, A. E. 2020. A shifting paradigm: An evaluation of the pervasive effects of digital technologies on language expression, creativity, critical thinking, political discourse, and interactive processes of human communications. *E-Learning and digital Media*, 17(5), 341-364.
- Darmawan, D. 2013. *Prinsip Prinsip Perilaku Organisasi*. Pena Semester - PT. Jepe Press Media Utama, Surabaya.
- Darmawan, D., & Gatheru, J. 2021. Understanding Impulsive Buying Behavior in Marketplace. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 11-18.

- Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., Gunawan, A., & Karina, A. 2015. *Manajemen, Teknologi, dan Bisnis*. Addar Press, Jakarta.
- Domingo, M. 2014. Transnational language flows in digital platforms: A study of urban youth and their multimodal text making. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 9(1), 7-25.
- Eagleton, T. 2008. *Literary theory: An introduction* (Anniversary ed.). Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Eddine, B. A. S. & D. Darmawan. 2021. Technical and Ethical Dimensions of Search Engine Optimization in Managing Online Business Visibility, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 209-226.
- Elias, M., Mudege, N., Lopez, D.E., Najjar, D., Kandiwa, V., Luis, J., Yila, J., Tegbaru, A., Ibrahim, G., Badstue, L., Njuguna-Mungai, E., Bentaibi, A. 2018. Gendered aspirations and occupations among rural youth, in agriculture and beyond: A cross-regional perspective *Journal of Gender, Agriculture and Food Security* 3(1) p. 82-107 ISSN: 2413-922X
- Emerson, L. 2014. *Reading writing interfaces: From the digital to the bookbound* (Vol. 44). U of Minnesota Press.
- Fajar, A. S. M., Darmawan, D., & El-Yunusi, M. Y. M. 2021. The Principle of the Child's Best Interests in Custody and Post-Divorce Care. *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 113-128.
- Fared, M. A. & D. Darmawan. 2021. Use of Consumer Behaviour Data for Personalised Advertising in Digital Marketing, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 93-108.
- Fariz, F. A. B. M. 2021. Populist Ethno-Religious Nationalism: Challenges to Global Governance and Domestic Social Integration, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(2), 75-92.
- Feather, J. 2013. *The information society: A study of continuity and change*. Facet publishing.
- Gardi, B., Udjari, H., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Understanding the Function of Communication in Building and Sustaining Quality Relationships Across Organizational Boundaries. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 245-252.
- Greenhalgh, T., Jackson, C., Shaw, S., & Janamian, T. 2016. Achieving research impact through co-creation in community-based health services: literature review and case study. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 94(2), 392-429.
- Halizah, S. N. & R. Nuraini. 2021. Women's Household Involvement and Decisions on Green Consumption, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 177-192.
- Hayles, N. K. 2008. *Electronic literature: New horizons for the literary*. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Jenkins, H. 2006. *Convergence culture: Where old and new media collide*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Johnson, M. J. 2020. Digitally-social genre fiction: citizen authors and the changing power dynamics of writing in digital, social spaces. *Textual Practice*, 34(3), 399-417.

- Kafai, Y. B., & Peppler, K. A. 2011. Youth, technology, and DIY: Developing participatory competencies in creative media production. *Review of research in education*, 35(1), 89-119.
- Khazraee, E., & Novak, A. N. 2018. Digitally mediated protest: Social media affordances for collective identity construction. *Social Media+ Society*, 4(1), 2056305118765740.
- Lévy, P. 1997. *Collective intelligence: Mankind's emerging world in cyberspace*. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books.
- Manovich, L. 2001. *The language of new media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. 2021. Business Sustainability Strategies in the Facing of Regulatory Uncertainty and Managerial Challenges. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 111-118.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Hariani, M. 2021. Realizing Sustainability in Public Policy: Building a Balance between Economy, Social, and Environment. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 191-196.
- Mardikaningsih, R., Sinambela, E. A., Hariani, M., Arifin, S., Putra, A. R., Darmawan, D., & Irfan, M. 2018. Studi Tentang Pengaruh Daya Tarik Promosi, Media Digital dan Kelompok Referensi terhadap Pembelian Impulsif Pada Marketplace Tokopedia. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, 8(2), 21-30.
- Meyers, E. M., Erickson, I., & Small, R. V. 2013. Digital literacy and informal learning environments: an introduction. *Learning, media and technology*, 38(4), 355-367.
- Murray, S. 2018. *The digital literary sphere: reading, writing, and selling books in the internet era*. JHU Press.
- Negara, D. S., Darmawan, D., & Gardi, B. 2021. The Approach of Consumer Reviews to Product Competitiveness and Management Strategies. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 149-154.
- Putra, A. R., & Arifin, S. 2021. Supply Chain Management Optimization in the Manufacturing Industry through Digital Transformation: The Role of Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, and the Internet of Things. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 161-166.
- Saktiawan, P., Hardyansah, R., Darmawan, D., & Putra, A. R. 2021. Ethical Principles in Indonesian Legal Advocacy: Sustaining Justice in Adversarial Systems Through Professional Integrity. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(2), 239-244.
- Scheurich, J. 2014. *Research Method in the Postmodern*. Routledge.
- Thomas, L., Briggs, P., Hart, A., & Kerrigan, F. 2017. Understanding social media and identity work in young people transitioning to university. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 76, 541-553.
- Wahyudi, W., R. N. K. Kabalmay, & M. W. Amri. 2021. Big Data and New Things in Social Life, *Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia*, 1(1), 1-12.
- Winiecka, E. 2020. Literary internet: online criticism and literary communication. *Porównania*, 27(2), 289-311.